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Preface

It is my pleasure to bring out "Manual for Procurement of Consultancy & Other Services, 2018" for the State of Tripura. This is the first time such a manual is being brought to serve as a guide for different departments / organizations for smooth, systematic and transparent procurement of consultancy and other services.

2. It may be mentioned that the 'Manual for Procurement of Consultancy & Other Services-2017' has been issued by the Government of India and the State Manual has been prepared by and large based on it and after customization as required for the State Government.

3. Before bringing out this Manual, due exercises have been made and views of all the departments have been examined by a Committee headed by Shri V.J Jenner, Special Secretary, I&C, Govt. of Tripura. I convey my sincere thanks to all the departments including the Committee Members and other officers of Finance Department for giving final shape to this Manual within a short time frame. I would like to thank Mr. N. Darlong, Special Secretary, Mr. P. R. Bhattacharjee, Additional Secretary, Mr. Akinchan Sarkar, Joint Secretary and Dr. D. Kilikdar, under Secretary for their contribution in bringing out this manual.

4. It is expected that this Manual will be useful to help the Government organizations for timely procurement of services.

5. Best attempts have been taken to prepare this Manual free of error. If there is any error or omission in any part of the Manual inadvertently, it may kindly be brought to the notice of the Finance Department for necessary correction.

(M. Nagaraju, IAS)
Principal Secretary to the Government of Tripura.
DISCLAIMER

While every care has been taken to ensure that the contents of this Manual are accurate and up to date till August 2017, the procuring entities are advised to check the precise current provisions of extant law and other applicable instructions from the original sources. In case of any conflict between the provisions stipulated in this Manual and in the original source such as GFR as adopted to Tripura or the prevailing laws, the provisions contained in the extant law and the original instructions shall prevail.
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## Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAEC</td>
<td>Appreciable Adverse Effect on Competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC (selling)</td>
<td>Bill for Collection Selling (Foreign Exchange) Rate BDS Bid Data Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;AG</td>
<td>Comptroller and Auditor General (of India)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(F)A</td>
<td>Competent (Financial) Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPEX</td>
<td>Capital Expenditure (model of acquisition/procurement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>Consultancy Evaluation Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP</td>
<td>Code of Integrity for Public Procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>Contract Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Curriculum Vitae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>Central Vigilance Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFPRRT</td>
<td>Delegation of Financial Power Rule Tripura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG</td>
<td>Director General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGS&amp;D</td>
<td>Directorate General of Supplies and Disposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSC</td>
<td>Digital Signature Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Evaluated Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS</td>
<td>Electronic Clearing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>Earnest Money Deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EoI</td>
<td>Expression of Interest (Tender)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPF</td>
<td>Employee Provident Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Employee State Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>Foreign Exchange Management Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Force Majeure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP</td>
<td>Full Technical Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>General Conditions of Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeM</td>
<td>Government Electronic Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFRT</td>
<td>General and Financial Rules, 2017 as adopted to Tripura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoI</td>
<td>Government of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTE</td>
<td>Global Tender Enquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOD</td>
<td>Head of the Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUF</td>
<td>Hindu Undivided Family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PA Procurement Agent(s)
PAN Personal Account Number
PQB Prequalification Bidding
PSARA Private Security Agencies Regulation Act, 2005
(C)PSU/PSE (Central)PublicSectorUndertaking/Enterprise QCBS
Quality and Cost Based Selection
(S)RfP (Standard) RequestforProposals (Document)
REoI Requestfor Expression of Interest
RTI Rightto Information(Act) SBD
Standard Bidding Document
SCC Special Conditions of Contract
SLA Service Level Agreement
SoPP Schedule of Procurement Powers
SoR Schedule of Rates
SSS/STE Single Source Selection/Single Tender Enquiry
STP Simplified TechnicalProposal
TC Tender Committee also called Tender Purchase or Evaluation Committee (TPC/TEC) TCO
Total Cost of Ownership
ToR Terms of Reference
VfM (Best) Valuefor Money
WOL Whole of Life (Cost) or Total Cost of Ownership TCO
PROCUREMENT GLOSSARY

In this Manual and in the *Procurement Guidelines*, unless the context otherwise requires¹:

i) “Bid” (including the term ‘tender’, ‘offer’, ‘quotation’ or ‘proposal’ in certain contexts) means an offer to supply goods, services or execution of works made in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in a document inviting such offers;

ii) “Bidder” (including the term ‘tenderer’, ‘consultant’ or ‘service provider’ in certain contexts) means any eligible person or firm or company, including a consortium (that is an association of several persons, or firms or companies), participating in a procurement process with a Procuring Entity;

iii) “(Standard) Bid(ding) documents” (including the term ‘tender (enquiry) documents’ or ‘Request for Proposal Documents’ – RfP documents in certain contexts) means a document issued by the Procuring Entity, including any amendment thereto, that sets out the terms and conditions of the given procurement and includes the invitation to bid. A Standard (Model) Bidding Document is the standardised template to be used for preparing Bidding Documents after making suitable changes for specific procurement;

iv) “Bidder registration document” means a document issued by a Procuring Entity, including any amendment thereto, that sets out the terms and conditions of registration proceedings and includes the invitation to register;

v) “Bid security” (including the term ‘Earnest Money Deposit’ (EMD), in certain contexts) means a security from a bidder securing obligations resulting from a prospective contract award with the intention to avoid: the withdrawal or modification of an offer within the validity of the bid, after the deadline for submission of such documents; failure to sign the contract or failure to provide the required security for the performance of the contract after an offer has been accepted; or failure to comply with any other condition precedent to signing the contract specified in the solicitation documents.;

vi) “State Public sector enterprise” means a body incorporated under the Companies Act or established under any other Act and in which the State Government or a State enterprise owns more than 50 (fifty) per cent of the issued share capital;

vii) “Competent authority” means the officer(s) who finally approves the decision.

viii) “Consultancy services” covers a range of services that are of an advisory or professional nature and are provided by consultants. These Services typically involve providing expert

¹The main preferred term is within the inverted commas. Alternative equivalent terms used in certain contexts, if any, are listed in the brackets. Text within brackets is not considered for sort-order of terms.
or strategic advice e.g., management consultants, policy consultants or communications consultants. Advisory and project related Consultancy Services which include, for example: feasibility studies, project management, engineering services, Architectural Services, finance accounting and taxation services, training and development. It may include small works or supply of goods or other services which are incidental or consequential to such services;

ix) **“e-Procurement”** means the use of information and communication technology (specially the internet) by the Procuring Entity in conducting its procurement processes with bidders for the acquisition of goods (supplies), works and services with the aim of open, non-discriminatory and efficient procurement through transparent procedures;

x) **“Goods”** include all articles, material, commodity, livestock, medicines, furniture, fixtures, raw material, consumables, spare parts, instruments, machinery, equipment, industrial plant, vehicles, aircrafts, ships, railway rolling stock assemblies, sub-assemblies, accessories, a group of machines comprising an integrated production process or such other categories of goods or intangible, products like technology transfer, licenses, patents or other intellectual properties (but excludes books, publications, periodicals, etc., for a library), procured or otherwise acquired by a Procuring Entity. Procurement of goods may include certain small work or some services, which are incidental or consequential to the supply of such goods, such as transportation, insurance, installation, commissioning, training and maintenance;

xi) **“Indent”** (or the term ‘User (Department)’ in certain contexts) means the entity and its officials initiating a procurement indent, that is, a request to the Procuring Entity to procure goods, works or services specified therein;

xii) **“Inventory”** means any material, component or product that is held for use at a later time;

xiii) **“Invitation to (pre-)qualify”** means a document including any amendment thereto published by the Procuring Entity inviting offers for pre-qualification from prospective bidders;

xiv) **“Invitation to register”** means a document including any amendment thereto published by the Procuring Entity inviting offers for bidder registration from prospective bidders;

xv) **“Notice inviting tenders”** (including the term ‘Invitation to bid’ or ‘request for proposals’ in certain contexts) means a document and any amendment thereto published or notified by the Procuring Entity, which informs the potential bidders that it intends to procure goods, services and/or works;

xvi) **“Other services”** (including the term ‘Non-consultancy services’ in certain contexts) are defined by exclusion as services that cannot be classified as Consultancy Services. Other services involve routine repetitive physical or procedural non-intellectual outcomes for which quantum and performance standards can be tangibly identified and consistently applied and are bid and contracted on such basis. It may include small works, supply of goods or consultancy service, which are incidental or consequential to such services. Other services may include transport services; logistics; clearing and Forwarding; courier services; upkeep and maintenance of office/buildings/Estates (other than Civil & Electrical Works etc.); drilling, aerial photography, satellite imagery, mapping and similar operations etc;
xvii) "Outsourcing of Services" means deployment of outside agencies on a sustained long-term (for one year or more) for performance of other services which were traditionally being done in-house by the employees of Ministries/Departments (e.g. Security Services, Horticultural Services, Janitor/Cooking/Catering/Management Services for Hostels and Guest Houses, Cleaning/Housekeeping Services, Errand/Messenger Services and so forth). Besides outsourcing, other services also include procurement of short-term stand-alone services.

xix) "Pre-qualification (bidding) procedure" means the procedure set out to identify, prior to inviting bids, the bidders that are qualified to participate in the procurement;

xx) "Pre-qualification document" means the document including any amendment thereto issued by a Procuring Entity, which sets out the terms and conditions of the pre-qualification bidding and includes the invitation to pre-qualify;

xxi) "Procurement" or "Public Procurement" (or "Purchase", or "Government Procurement/Purchase" in certain contexts) means acquisition by way of purchase, lease, license or otherwise, either using public funds or any other source of funds (e.g. grant, loans, gifts, private investment etc.) of goods, works or services or any combination thereof, including award of Public Private Partnership projects, by a Procuring Entity, whether directly or through an agency with which a contract for procurement services is entered into, but does not include any acquisition of goods, works or services without consideration and the term "procure" or "procured" shall be construed accordingly;

xxii) "Procurement Contract" (including the terms 'Purchase Order' or 'Supply Order' or 'Withdrawal Order' or 'Work Order' or 'Consultancy Contract' or 'Contract for other services' under certain contexts), means a formal legal agreement in writing relating to the subject matter of procurement, entered into between the Procuring Entity and the supplier, service provider or contractor on mutually acceptable terms and conditions and which are in compliance with all the relevant provisions of the laws of the country. The term "contract" will also include "rate contract" and "framework contract";

xxiii) "Public Procurement Guidelines" means guidelines applicable to Public Procurement, consisting of under relevant context a set of – i) Statutory Provisions (The Constitution of India; Indian Contract Act, 1872; Sales of Goods Act, 1930; and other laws as relevant to the context); ii) Rules & Regulations (General Financial Rules, 2017; Delegation of Financial Power Rules Tripura and any other regulation so declared by the Government); iii) Manuals of Policies and Procedures for Procurement (of Goods; Works; Consultancy/other services or any for other category) promulgated by the Finance Department and iv) Procuring Entity's Documents relevant to the context (Codes, Manuals and Standard Model Bidding Documents);

xxiv) "Procurement process" means the process of procurement extending from the assessment of need; issue of invitation to pre-qualify or to register or to bid, as the case may be; the award of the procurement contract; execution of contract till closure of the contract;

xxv) "Procuring authority" means any Department of the State Government or a unit thereof or its attached or subordinate office to which powers of procurement have been delegated;
xxvi) **Procur ing Entity** (including the term Procuring Entity and including Associated/ integrated Finance, technical Departments, besides any other) means all the entities involved in one way or another, in various stages of the process, starting from need assessment to the closure of contract;

xxvii) **Prospective bidder** means anyone likely or desirous to be a bidder;

xxviii) **Public Private Partnership** means an arrangement between the central, state, a statutory entity or any other government-owned entity, on one side and a private sector entity, on the other, for the provision of public assets or public services or both, or a combination thereof, through investments being made or management being undertaken by the private sector entity, for a specified period of time, where there is predefined allocation of risk between the private sector and the public entity and the private entity receives performance-linked payments that conform (or are benchmarked) to specified and predetermined performance standards, deliverables or Service Level agreements measurable by the public entity or its representative;

xxix) **Rate Contract** (or the term ‘framework agreement’ in certain contexts) means an agreement between a State Purchase Organisation or Procuring Entity with one or more bidders, valid for a specified period of time, which sets out terms and conditions under which specific procurements can be made during the term of the agreement and may include an agreement on prices which may be either predetermined or be determined at the stage of actual procurement through competition or a predefined process allowing their revision without further competition;

xxx) **Registering Authority** means an authority which registers bidders for different categories of procurement.

xxxi) **Registered Supplier** means any supplier who is on a list of registered suppliers of the Procuring Entity or a State Purchase Organisation;

xxii) **Reverse Auction** (or the term ‘Electronic reverse auction’ in certain contexts) means an online real-time purchasing technique utilised by the Procuring Entity to select the successful bid, which involves presentation by bidders of successively more favourable bids during a scheduled period of time and automatic evaluation of bids;

xxiii) **Service** is defined by exception as any subject matter of procurement other than goods or works, except those incidental or consequential to the service and includes physical, maintenance, professional, intellectual, training, consultancy and advisory services or any other service classified or declared as such by a Procuring Entity but does not include appointment of an individual made under any law, rules, regulations or order issued in this behalf. It includes Consultancy Services and Other (Non-consultancy) Services;

xxiv) **Subject matter of procurement** means any item of procurement whether in the form of goods, services or works or a combination thereof;

xxv) **Works** refer to any activity, sufficient in itself to fulfill an economic or technical function, involving construction, fabrication, repair, overhaul, renovation, decoration, installation, erection, excavation, dredging and so on, which make use of a combination of one or more of engineering design, architectural design, material and technology, labour, machinery and equipment. Supply of some materials or certain services may be incidental or consequential to and part of such works. The term “Works” includes (i) civil works for the purposes of roads, railway, airports, shipping-ports, bridges, buildings, irrigation systems,
water supply, sewerage facilities, dams, tunnels and earthworks; and so on and (ii) mechanical and electrical works involving fabrication, installation, erection, repair and maintenance of a mechanical or electrical nature relating to machinery and plants.
INTRODUCTION TO PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANCY/OTHER SERVICES

1.1 Procurement Rules and Regulations; and this Manual

Various Departments, attached and subordinate offices, local urban bodies, public sector enterprises and other Government (including autonomous) bodies (hereinafter referred as ‘Procuring Entities’) spend a sizeable amount of their budget on procurement of goods, works and services to discharge the duties and responsibilities assigned to them.

The Departments have been delegated powers to make their own arrangements for procurement of consultancy and other services under the DFPRT, which have to be exercised in conformity with the ‘Procurement Guidelines’ described below.

To ensure that these procurements are made by following a uniform, systematic, efficient and cost-effective procedure and also to ensure fair and equitable treatment of service providers, there are statutory provisions; rules; financial, vigilance, security, safety, counter-trade and other regulations; orders and guidelines of the Government on the subject of public procurement (hereinafter referred as ‘Procurement Guidelines’) which provide framework for the public procurement system.

At the apex of the Statutory framework governing public procurement is Article 299 of the Constitution of India, which stipulates that contracts legally binding on the Government have to be executed in writing by officers specifically authorized to do so. The Constitution also enshrines Fundamental Rights (In particular Article 19 (1) (g) – Right to carry on a Profession) which have implications for Public Procurement. Further, the Indian Contract Act, 1872, Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996; Competition Act, 2002; Information Technology Act, 2000 etc (and amendments thereto) are major legislations governing contracts for procurement (both private and public) in general. There is no law exclusively governing public procurement.

However, comprehensive Rules and Regulations in this regard are available in the General Financial Rules (GFR), 2017; Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, Tripura (DFPRT); Government orders regarding purchase preference or other facilities to Micro and Small Enterprises etc. and the guidelines issued by the Central & State Governments to increase transparency and objectivity in public procurement.

Without purporting to be a comprehensive compendium of all such ‘Procurement Guidelines’, this Manual is intended to serve as a portal to enter this vast area and draw attention to basic norms and practices governing public procurement.

1.2 Clarification, Amendments and Revision of this Manual

For revision, interpretation, clarification and issues relating to this manual, the Procurement Policy Division, Finance Department would be the nodal authority.
1.3 Applicability of this Manual

1.3.1 This manual is applicable to procurement of all "Services" defined by exception as any subject matter of procurement other than goods or works, except those incidental or consequential to the service and includes physical, maintenance, professional, intellectual, training, consultancy and advisory services or any other service classified or declared as such by a Procuring Entity but does not include appointment of an individual made under any law, rules, regulations or order issued in this behalf. It includes "Consultancy Services" and "Other (Non-consultancy) Services". If the generic word "Services" is used in this manual, it implies both Consultancy and other services taken together.

1.3.2 "Consultancy services" means any subject matter of procurement (which as distinguished from "Non-Consultancy Services" involves primarily non-physical project- specific, intellectual and procedural processes where outcomes/deliverables would vary from one consultant to another), other than goods or works, except those incidental or consequential to the service and includes professional, intellectual, training and advisory services or any other service classified or declared as such by a Procuring Entity but does not include direct engagement of a retired Government servant. These Services typically involve providing expert or strategic advice e.g., management consultants, policy consultants, communications consultants, Advisory and project related Consulting Services which include, feasibility studies, project management, engineering services, finance, accounting and taxation services, training and development etc.

Procurement of IT Projects should normally be carried out as Procurement of Consultancy services, as the outcomes/deliverables vary from one service provider to another. The IT Projects may include:

i) bespoke software development;

ii) cloud based services and

iii) composite IT system integration services involving design, development, deployment, commissioning of IT system including supply of hardware, development of software, bandwidth and operation/maintenance of the system for a define period after go-live etc.

1.3.3 "Other services" (including the term "Non-consultancy services" in certain contexts) are defined by exclusion as services that cannot be classified as Consultancy Services. Other services involve routine repetitive physical or procedural non-intellectual outcomes for which quantum and performance standards can be tangibly identified and consistently applied and are bid and contracted on such basis. It may include small works, supply of goods or consultancy service, which are incidental or consequential to such services. Other services may include transport services; logistics; clearing and forwarding; courier services; upkeep and maintenance of office/buildings/estates (other than Civil & Electrical Works etc.); drilling, aerial photography, satellite imagery, mapping and similar operations etc.

1.3.4 The term "Outsourcing of Services" implies deployment of outside agencies on a sustained long-term (for one year or more) for performance of other services which were traditionally being done in-house by the employees of Departments.

Horticultural Services, Janitor/Cooking/Catering/Management Services for Hostels and Guest Houses, Cleaning/Housekeeping Services, Errand/Messenger Services and so forth). There may be Human Resources and administrative issues involved in outsourcing which are beyond the scope of this manual, but nevertheless need to be addressed. Besides outsourcing, other
services also include procurement of short-term stand-alone services.

1.3.5 If the other services involve construction, fabrication, repair, maintenance, overhaul, renovation, decoration, installation, erection, excavation, dredging and so on, of Civil assets, then it should be handled as procurement of Works. In case of fabrication, repair, maintenance, overhaul, renovation, decoration, installation, erection and so on, of mechanical, electrical or ICT assets – e.g. Annual Maintenance Contracts or installation/commissioning of Machinery and Plant and so on, it may be handled as Procurement of Goods rather than Procurement of Services.

1.3.6 It is possible that, depending on the nature and complexity of the assignment, a task could be dealt with either as a consultancy or non-consultancy service. In essence, if the intellectual and advisory part of services dominates (and the physical part is incidental), the selection needs to be dealt with in Consultancy mode. For example, if the task is looking at the condition of a dam (for dam safety) by physically inspecting a dam through underwater observation, this task is collection of data using technologies and photography, but the actual analysis is an intellectual and advisory task and is the crux of the assignment. Therefore, the entire task needs to be dealt with as selection of a consultant.

1.3.7 For sake of simplicity, this Manual for Procurement of Consultancy and Other Services is written from the point of view of procurement of Consultancy Services. A separate chapter at the end covers the Outsourcing/Procurement of Other (non-consultancy) Services and points out areas where policies and procedures are different for such Outsourcing/Procurements. However generic word ‘Service(s)’ wherever used implies both Consultancy and other services taken together.

1.3.8 The ‘Procurement Entities’ who can benefit from this manual include Departments, or an attached or subordinate offices/units; State Public Sector Enterprises or undertakings; any other body (including autonomous bodies) substantially owned or controlled by or receiving substantial financial assistance from the State Government. These procurement guidelines would continue to apply if these procurement entities outsource the procurement process or bundle the procurement process with other contractual arrangements or utilise the services of procurement support agency or procurement agents to carry out the procurement on their behalf. But these procurement guidelines would not apply to procurements by these procuring entities for their own use (but not for purpose of trading/sale) from their subsidiary companies including Joint Ventures in which they have controlling share.

1.3.9 However, by a general or special notification, the Government may permit certain ‘Procuring Entities’ mentioned in sub-para above, considering unique conditions under which they operate, for all or certain categories of procurements, to adopt detailed approved guidelines for procurement, which may deviate in some aspects but conform with all other essential aspects of ‘Procurement Guidelines’.

1.3.10 This Manual is to be taken as generic guidelines, which have to be necessarily broad in nature. Subject to the observance of these generic guidelines, the initiation, authorization, procurement and execution of Services Contracts undertaken by a particular Department shall be regulated by detailed rules and orders contained in the respective departmental regulations and by other special orders applicable to them if any. Departments are advised to supplement these manuals to suit local/specialized needs, by issuing their own detailed Manuals (including customized formats); Standard Bidding
Documents; Schedule of Procurement Powers and Checklists to serve as practical instructions for their officers and to ensure completeness of examination of cases. Major Consultancy/Services procuring Departments may be having their own detailed guidelines tailored to unique individual requirements, e.g. Manuals or Procedure Orders. Many other Departments as well as PSEs may have their own Procurement Manuals. For these Procuring Entities, this Manual would serve as a generic reference.

1.3.11 For procurements financed by Loans/Grants extended by International Agencies: The Articles of Agreement with the International Agencies like the World Bank, Asian Development Bank etc. stipulate specific procurement procedures to be followed by the borrower. The procurement procedures, as finalized and incorporated in the agreements after consideration and approval of the Finance Department are to be followed accordingly.

14 Authorities competent to procure Consultancy and Other Services and their Purchase Powers

An authority which is competent to incur expenditure may sanction the procurement of Consultancy and other services required for use in public service in accordance with the DFPRT by following the ‘Procurement Guidelines’ described in this Manual. No separate sanction would be required for such services which are distinctly and explicitly named and included in a sanctioned and approved work/project. Each ‘Procuring Entity’ may issue a Schedule of Procurement Powers (SoPP) adding further details to the broad delegations in the DFPRT, based on the assessment of risks involved in different decisions/approvals at various stages of Procurement Cycle.

15 Basic Aims of Procurement – Five Rs of Procurement

In every procurement, public or private, the basic aim is to achieve just the right balance between costs and requirements concerning five parameters called the Five Rs of procurement. The entire process of procurement (from the time that need for an item, facility or services is identified till the need is satisfied) is designed to achieve following basic aims. Although couched in jargon of procurement of Goods, it’s equally applicable to procurement of Consultancy and other services. The term ‘Right’ is used here in the sense of being optimal:

i) Right quality;
ii) Right quantity;
iii) Right price;
iv) Right time and place; and
v) Right source.

16 Principles of Public Procurement

Over and above the basic aims of procurement, the obligations of procuring authorities can be grouped into following five fundamental principles of public procurement, which all procuring authorities must abide by and be accountable for:

i) Transparency principle;
ii) Professionalism principle;
iii) Broader obligations principle;
iv) Extrinsic legal principle; and
v) Public accountability principle.

1.7. Standards (Canons) of Financial Propriety

Public Procurement like any other expenditure in Government must conform to the Standards (also called Canons) of Financial Propriety. It may be useful to refer to the relevant provisions in the General Financial Rules, 2017

**Rule 21. Standards of financial propriety:** Every officer incurring or authorizing expenditure from public moneys should be guided by high standards of financial propriety. Every officer should also enforce financial order and strict economy and see that all relevant financial rules and regulations are observed, by his own office and by subordinate disbursing officers. Among the principles on which emphasis is generally laid are the following:-

i) Every officer is expected to exercise the same vigilance in respect of expenditure incurred from public moneys as a person of ordinary prudence would exercise in respect of expenditure of his own money.

ii) The expenditure should not be prima facie more than the occasion demands.

iii) No authority should exercise its powers of sanctioning expenditure to pass an order which will be directly or indirectly to its own advantage.

iv) Expenditure from public moneys should not be incurred for the benefit of a particular person or a section of the people, unless -
   a) a claim for the amount could be enforced in a Court of Law, or
   b) the expenditure is in pursuance of a recognized policy or custom.

v) The amount of allowances granted to meet expenditure of a particular type should be so regulated that the allowances are not on the whole a source of profit to the recipients.

vi) While discharging the duties of financial concurrence of any public expenditure, such authorities subsequent to such decision, shall not be involved in any future financial/ audit/payment responsibilities which may create conflict of interest.
1.8. Preferential/Mandatory Purchase from certain sources

The State Government may, by notification, provide for mandatory procurement of any goods or services from any category of bidders, or provide for preference to bidders on the grounds of promotion of locally manufactured goods or locally provided services.

Note: Before considering any Purchase Preference mentioned below, the Procuring Entity should check the latest directives in this regard for necessary action. Purchase Preference provision shall invariably be part of the Notice Inviting Tender (NIT) and Instructions to Bidders (ITB).

1.8.1 Public Procurement Policy for Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs)

i) From time to time, the Government of Tripura lays down procurement policies by providing long-term support to small and medium enterprises and disadvantaged sections of society and to address environmental concerns. The Procurement Policy for Micro and Small Enterprises, as notified by the Government of Tripura, Department of Industries or by Finance Department will prevail in exercise of the powers conferred.

1.9 When is Procurement of Services justified

1.9.1 Consultancy Services: Rule 178 & 180 of GFR 2017, permits Departments to hire external professionals, consultancy firms or consultants (referred to as consultant hereinafter) for a specific job, which is well defined in terms of content and time frame for its completion. Engagement of consultants may be resorted to in situations requiring high quality services for which the Procuring Entity does not have requisite expertise. Approval of the competent authority should be obtained before engaging consultant(s). We may justify need for Procurement of Consultancy Services on consideration of:

i) The inadequacy of Capability or Capacity of required expertise in-house;

ii) The need to have qualified consultant for providing a specialized high quality service;

iii) Need for expert advice from a consultant acting independently from any affiliation, economic or otherwise to avoid conflicts of interest;

iv) The need in some cases for transfer of knowledge/training/capacity and capability building as a by-product of such engagement;

v) Need to acquire information about/identifying and implementing new methods and systems;

vi) Need for planning and implementing organizational change;

vii) There may be internal capacity/capability to do the job but there are considerations of economy, speed and efficiency in relation to additional requirement/commitment/usage of:

a) Staff/Management/Organization;

b) Technological and Material Resources;

c) Money and

d) Time/Speed of execution.
1.9.2 Other (Non-consultancy) Services: In the interest of economy, efficiency and to provide more effective delivery of public services, GFR, 2017 permits Departments to procure/outsource auxiliary and support services. Approval of the competent authority should be obtained before engaging service providers. We may justify need for procurement of other services on consideration:-

1.9.2.1 Economy, speed and efficiency and more effective delivery of public services relating to additional requirement/commitment/usage of:

1.9.2.1.1 Staff/Management/Organization;
1.9.2.1.2 Technological and Material Resources;
1.9.2.1.3 Money and
1.9.2.1.4 Time/Speed of execution.

1.9.2.2 An administrative policy decided by the Government /Department to outsource specific (class of) services.

1.10. Principles for Public Procurement of Services

1.10.1. Other principles of Public Procurement as mentioned in 1.6 above are also equally applicable to Procurement of Consultancy and other services. To ensure value for money during Procurement of Consultancy and other services, the following additional principles shall be considered:

i) Services to be procured should be justifiable in accordance with Para 1.10 above;

ii) In case of Consultancy Services - well-defined scope of work/Terms of Reference (ToR – description of services) and the time frame, for which services are to be availed of, should be determined consistent with the overall objectives of Procuring Entity. In other (non-consultancy services) Activity Schedule (a document covering well-defined scope of work/description of services and the time frame for which services are to be availed of) should be consistent with the overall objectives of Procuring Entity;

iii) Equal opportunity to all qualified service providers/consultants to compete should be ensured;

v) Engagements should be economical and efficient.

vi) Transparency and integrity in the selection process (that is, proposed, awarded, administered and executed according to highest ethical standards) and;

vii) Additionally, in procurement of consultancy services, consultants should be of high quality, in line with justification.

1.10.2. In Procurement of Consultancy, these considerations can be best addressed through unrestricted competition among qualified shortlisted firms or individuals in which selection is based on the quality of the proposal and, where appropriate, on the cost of services to be provided. Hence Procurement of Consultancy needs to be done in a two-stage process.

However procurement of other services is done by a simpler process akin to those of procurement of Goods and Works.

1.11. The Law of Agency – Applicable to Procurement of Consultancy and Other Services

Laws which are applicable to Public Procurement of Goods equally apply to Procurement of
Consultancy and other services. Legally speaking consultants/service provider would be an Agent of the Principal/Client/Procuring Entity to carry out the service/assignment on its behalf. Such a relationship is covered by The Law of Agency (Section 182 to section 238, of the Indian Contract Act, 1872) and hence there exists a Principal/Procuring Entity and Agent relationship between Procuring Entity and such consultant/service provider. As per this law, the Procuring Entity is vicariously legally and financially liable for actions of its Agents. For example, a violation of certain labour laws in deputing staff for Procuring Entity's contract by the agents may render the Procuring Entity legally and financially answerable for such violations, under certain circumstances. There is a need to be aware of such eventualities. Standard Bidding Documents should take care of this aspect.

1.12 Proactive Information Disclosures

Section 4(1) (b) of the RTI Act lays down the information to be disclosed by public authorities on a suomotu or proactive basis and Section 4(2) and Section 4(3) prescribe the method of its dissemination to enhance transparency and also to reduce the need for filing individual RTI applications. So to satisfy the same conditions, all efforts be taken to disclose the required information.

1.13 Public Procurement Cycle

The entire process of procurement and implementation of Consultancy and other services shall include the following steps:

- Preparation of Concept Paper/Procurement Proposal and obtaining in principle approvals;
- Preparation of the ToR (in case of consultancy services)/Activity Schedule (in case of other/non-consultancy services), cost estimate and seeking administrative and budgetary approval;
- IncaseofProcurementofConsultancyServices-Shortlistofconsultants-EoIformulation, publication, receipt of proposals and evaluation;
- Preparation and issuance of the RfP; Receipt of proposals; Evaluation of technical proposals: consideration of quality; Evaluation of financial proposals; Selection of winning proposal; Negotiations and award of the contract to the selected firm; and
- Monitoring of Assignments.

Details and procedures of various stages of the procurement cycle would be described in subsequent chapters of the manual.

1.14 Procurement Proposal (Concept Paper) for Consultancy and Other Services

1.14.1 A critical part of the Procurement of Services process is preparing an appropriately staffed and budgeted Procurement Proposal/Concept Paper (which serve the role that an Indent serves in Procurement of Goods).

1.14.2 Preparing Procurement Proposal/Concept Paper

As a first step towards Procurement of Services, a formal written brief Proposal and Justification for the Services should be prepared. It is akin to the Indent for Materials/Material Requisition in case of Procurement of Goods. The User should prepare in simple and concise language the requirement, purpose/objectives and the scope/outcomes of the
assignment and justify the assignment based on analysis of in-house available capacity/capability. The eligibility and pre-qualification criteria to be met by the consultants/service providers should also be clearly identified at this stage. Justifications for procurement of Consultancies/Services may be kept in view. It is the basic document for initiating Procurement of Services. It is also the document from which the subsequent detailing of Terms of Reference (in case of consultancy services)/Activity Schedule (in case of other/non-consultancy services) is drawn up. A procurement proposal should contain:

i) **Purpose/Objective Statement of Services**

“Purpose/Objective Statement of Services” should be prepared by the user. One of the important content of this statement is description of service to describe the subject matter of procurement which would be used in all subsequent documents. Bringing out the background and context, this should justify how the proposed procurement of services would fit in with short-term and strategic goals of Procuring Entity. Making such a statement is important to put the need for services in clear perspective. It may seem elementary or academic, but is a necessary and critical first step in properly designing a procurement proposal.

ii) **Service Outcome Statement**

Once the “Purpose/Objective of Services” has been clearly defined, the next step is to formulate a “Service Outcome Statement”. This should list out qualitatively and quantitatively the outcomes expected from the Procurement of Services, as well as the expected Time-frame and a rough estimate of cost of Procurement of Services (including related costs to be incurred by the organization). At this stage, it is not necessary to go into details of all the activities required to achieve the service outcomes, but it should list at least the broad activities, would help in putting a rough estimate to the cost of the assignment. A “Service Outcome Statement” should provide a concrete basis for subsequently defining the type and amount of work that needs to be done by service provider and the time-frame within which the output needs to be received by the user. The estimated cost is needed to ascertain the level of approval necessary as per SoPP.

iii) **Justification for the procurement of Services**

The Concept Paper/Procurement proposal should analyse the capabilities/capacities required to carry out the assignment. It should also analyse the available in-house capabilities/capacities and compare these with the ones required for the assignment. Based on this assessment the Procurement should be justified.

### 1.14.3 In-principle Approval for initiating procurement of Services

Based on the justification contained in the Procurement Proposal, in-principle administrative approval and budgetary sanction for initiating procurement of such services should be accorded by the Competent Authority (CA). Further stages may be proceeded with, only after such approvals.
CONSULTANTS, SERVICE PROVIDERS AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES

2.1 Types of Consultants/Service Providers

The term consultants/service providers includes a wide variety of private and public entities, including Consultancy firms, engineering firms, Architectural Firms/consultants, construction management firms, management firms, procurement agents, inspection agents, auditors, investment and merchant bankers, universities/educational institutions, research institutions, Government agencies, and individuals/experts or their joint ventures. These can be grouped as:

2.1.1 Consortium of Consultants/Service Providers

In large and complex assignments consultants/service providers may associate with each other to form a consortium to complement their respective areas of expertise, to increase the technical responsiveness of their proposal and make larger pools of experts available or for other reasons. Such an association may be for the long term (independent of any particular assignment) or for a specific assignment. The consortium may take the form of a Joint Venture (JV) or a sub consultancy. In case of a JV, all members of the JV shall sign the contract and shall be jointly and severally liable for the entire assignment. After the short list is finalised and the Request for Proposal (RfP) is issued, any association in the form of a JV or sub consultancy among the short-listed firms shall be permissible in accordance with provisions stated in the RfP. Under such circumstance, one of the shortlisted consultants/service providers must become the lead member of the consortium. The Procuring Entity only deals with the lead member of consortiums for all the purposes. Bid documents should clearly specify whether JVs are allowed to bid. In case JVs are permitted to bid, it should be clarified what qualifications are to be collectively (clubbed together) met by the JV partners (say experience of particular consultancy, Financial Turnover etc) and what each partner has to individually and separately meet (financial soundness). In this case it should also be specified that each partner should meet at least 25% (and the lead partner at least 50%) out of the qualifying limit in case of experience of particular consultancy and financial turnover, if any.

Conflicting Association: A firm shall submit only one proposal, either individually or as a JV partner in another proposal. If a firm, including a JV partner, submits or participates in more than one proposal, all such proposals shall be disqualified. This does not, however, preclude a firm from participating as a sub-consultant or an individual consultant to participate as a team member in more than one proposal when circumstances justify but only if permitted by the RfP document.

2.1.2 Consultancy or Service Providing Firms

The main source of consultants and service providers is Consultancy or Service providing firms of diverse specializations that provide teams to Clients. These firms provide project preparation services, project implementation supervision services, training, advisory services and policy guidance. Such firms are normally classified as either international – firms that have international experience and are capable of undertaking work at international level at international rates; or national – firms that may not have international exposure and normally undertake assignments only within that country, usually at significantly lower rates.
2.1.3 Individual Consultants/ Service Providers

Individual consultants or service providers are recruited for similar activities as Consultancy/ Service providing firms when a full team is not considered necessary. They may be independent experts not permanently associated with any particular firm, or they may be employees of a firm recruited on an individual basis. They may also be employees of an agency, institution, or university. They are normally recruited for project implementation supervision, training, provision of specific expert advice on a highly technical subject, policy guidance, special studies, compliance supervision, or implementation monitoring. Individual consultants/service providers are not normally recruited for project preparation unless the proposed project is simple and, generally, a repeat of an already established and successful project. If more than three experts are required, then the assignment should normally be undertaken by a team from a firm. As with firms, individual consultants/service providers are classed as either international, national or State, depending on their level of expertise and their international experience and exposure.

2.1.4 Specialized Agencies and Institutions

Specialized agencies or institutions (including Government/Semi-Government agencies, universities and professional institutions) may also from time to time be recruited to provide Consultancy/other services. These services may be provided by individuals or by teams. Nonetheless, there are at times distinct advantages to using such agencies. Experts and teams from such agencies and institutions may undertake a variety of roles across the whole field of possible Consultancy and other services. These may range from project preparation through project supervision and policy advice to project benefit monitoring and evaluation.

22 Code of Integrity for Public Procurement (CIPP)

2.2.1 Public procurement is perceived to be prone to corruption and ethical risks. To mitigate this, the officials of Procuring Entities and the bidders/suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers involved in procurement process must abide by the following Code of Integrity for Public Procurement (CIPP). All Procuring officials may be asked to sign declarations to this effect. The bidders/ suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers should be asked to sign a declaration for abiding by a Code of Integrity for Public Procurement either in registration applications or in bid documents, with a warning that, in case of any transgression of this code, its name is not only liable to be removed from the list of registered suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers, but it would be liable for other punitive actions such as cancellation of contracts, banning and blacklisting and so on.

2.2.2 Code of Integrity for Public Procurement: Procuring authorities as well as bidders, suppliers, contractors and consultants/service providers should observe the highest standard of ethics and should not indulge in the following prohibited practices, either directly or indirectly, at any stage during the procurement process or during execution of resultant contracts:
i) "Corrupt practice": making offers, solicitation or acceptance of bribe, rewards or gifts or any material benefit, in exchange for an unfair advantage in the procurement process or to otherwise influence the procurement process or contract execution;

ii) "Fraudulent practice": any omission or misrepresentation that may mislead or attempt to mislead so that financial or other benefits may be obtained or an obligation avoided. This includes making false declaration or providing false information for participation in a tender process or to secure a contract or in execution of the contract;

iii) "Anti-competitive practice": any collusion, bid rigging or anti-competitive arrangement, or any other practice coming under the purview of The Competition Act, 2002, between two or more bidders, with or without the knowledge of the Procuring Entity, that may impair the transparency, fairness and the progress of the procurement process or to establish bid prices at artificial, non-competitive levels;

iv) "Coercive practice": harming or threatening to harm, persons or their property to influence their participation in the procurement process or affect the execution of a contract;

v) "Conflict of interest": participation by a bidding firm or any of its affiliates that are either involved in the consultancy contract to which this procurement is linked; or if they are part of more than one bid in the procurement; or if the bidding firm or their personnel have relationships or financial or business transactions with any official of Procuring Entity who are directly or indirectly related to tender or execution process of contract; or improper use of information obtained by the (prospective) bidder from the Procuring Entity with an intent to gain unfair advantage in the procurement process or for personal gain; and

vi) "Obstructive practice": materially impede the Procuring Entity's investigation into allegations of one or more of the above mentioned prohibited practices either by deliberately destroying, falsifying, altering; or by concealing of evidence material to the investigation; or by making false statements to investigators and/or by threatening, harassing or intimidating any party to prevent it from disclosing its knowledge of matters relevant to the investigation or from pursuing the investigation; or by impeding the Procuring Entity's rights of audit or access to information;

2.2.3 Conflict of Interest in case of Consultants

i) The consultant is required to provide professional, objective and impartial advice, at all times holding the Procuring Entity's interests paramount, strictly avoiding conflicts with other assignments or his/its own corporate interests and acting without any consideration for future work.

ii) The consultant has an obligation to disclose to the Procuring Entity any situation of actual or potential conflict that impacts its/his capacity to serve the best interest of its client/Procuring Entity. Failure to disclose such situations may lead to the disqualification of the consultant or termination of its/his contract during execution of the assignment.

iii) Without limitation on the generality of the foregoing and unless stated otherwise in the data sheet for the RfP document, the consultant shall not be hired under the circumstances set forth below:
a) **Conflicting activities:** A firm that has been engaged by the client to provide Goods, Works, or Non-consultancy services for a project, or any of its affiliates, shall be disqualified from providing Consultancy service resulting from or directly related to those Goods, Works, or Non-consultancy services. Conversely, a firm hired to provide consultancy services for the preparation or implementation of a project, or any of its affiliates, shall be disqualified from subsequently providing Goods or Works or Non-consultancy services resulting from or directly related to the consultancy services for such preparation or implementation;

b) **Conflicting assignments:** Consultants (including its experts and sub-consultants) or any of their affiliates shall not be hired for any assignment that, by its nature, may be in conflict with another assignment of the consultant for the same or for another Procuring Entity; and

c) **Conflicting relationships:** A consultant (including its/his experts and sub-consultants) that has a close business or family relationship with a professional staff (For Group-A & B officials) of the Procuring Entity who are directly or indirectly involved in any part of:

1. the preparation of ToR for the assignment; 2. selection process for the contract; or 3. supervision of the contract, may not be awarded a contract, unless the conflict stemming from this relationship has been resolved in a manner acceptable to the client throughout the selection process and execution of the contract.

### 2.2.4 Unfair Competitive Advantage in case of Consultants

Fairness and transparency in the selection process require that the consultants or their affiliates competing for a specific assignment do not derive an unfair competitive advantage from having provided consultancy services related to the assignment in question. Such unfair competitive advantage is best avoided by full transparency and by providing equal opportunity so that all firms or individuals interested or involved have full information about a service assignment and its nature, scope and background information. To that end, the request for proposals and all information would be made available to all short listed consultants simultaneously.

### 2.2.5 Obligations for Proactive Disclosures

i) Procuring authorities as well as bidders, suppliers, contractors and consultants/service providers, are obliged under Code of Integrity for Public Procurement to suo-moto proactively declare any conflicts of interest (coming under the definition mentioned above – pre-existing or as and as soon as these arise at any stage) in any procurement process or execution of contract. Failure to do so would amount to violation of this Code of Integrity; and

ii) Any bidder must declare, whether asked or not in a bid document, any previous transgressions of such a Code of Integrity with any entity in any country during the last three years or of being debarred by any other Procuring Entity. Failure to do so would amount to violation of this Code of Integrity;

iii) To encourage voluntary disclosures, such declarations would not mean automatic disqualification for the bidder making such declarations. The declared conflict of interest may be evaluated and mitigation steps, if possible, may be taken by the Procuring Entity. Similarly, voluntary reporting of previous transgressions of Code of Integrity elsewhere may be evaluated and barring cases of various grades of debarment, an alert watch may be kept on the bidders’ actions in the tender and subsequent contract.

13
2.2.6 **Punitive Provisions:** Without prejudice to and in addition to the rights of the Procuring Entity to other penal provisions as per the bid documents or contract, if the Procuring Entity comes to a conclusion that a (prospective) bidder/contractor/supplier/consultant/service provider, directly or through an agent, has violated this Code of Integrity in competing for the contract or in executing a contract, the Procuring Entity may take appropriate measures including one or more of the following:

i) If his bids are under consideration in any procurement,
   a) forfeiture or encashment of bid security;
   b) calling off of any pre-contract negotiations; and
   c) rejection and exclusion of the bidder from the procurement process.

ii) If a contract has already been awarded,
   a) cancellation of the relevant contract and recovery of compensation for loss incurred by the Procuring Entity;
   b) forfeiture or encashment of any other security or bond relating to the procurement;
   c) recovery of payments made by the Procuring Entity along with interest thereon at the prevailing rate;

iii) Provisions in addition to above,
   a) removal from the list of registered suppliers and banning/debarment of the bidder from participation in future procurements of the Procuring Entity for a period not less than one year;
   b) in case of anti-competitive practices, information for further processing may be filed under a signature of the Under Secretary level officer
   c) initiation of suitable disciplinary or criminal proceedings against any individual or staff found responsible.

2.3 **Grades of Debarment of Suppliers/Contractors/Consultants/Service providers**

2.3.1 Registration of suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers and their eligibility to participate in Procurement Entity’s procurements is subject to compliance with Code of Integrity for Public Procurement and good performance in contracts. Following grades of debarment from registration and participation in Procuring Entity’s procurements can be considered against delinquent bidders/suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers.

2.3.2 **Holiday Listing (Temporary Debarment - Suspension)**

Whenever a supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider is found lacking in performance, in case of less frequent and less serious misdemeanours, the supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider may be put on a holiday listing (temporary debarment) for a period upto 12 (Twelve) months after following the debarment procedures mentioned in para 2.4.5 below. When a supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider is on the holiday listing, he is neither invited to bid nor are his bids considered for evaluation during the period of the holiday. The supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider is, however, not removed from the list of registered supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider. The supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider automatically stands removed from the holiday listing on expiry of the holiday period. Performance issues which may justify holiday listing of the vendor are:

i) Vendors who have not responded to requests for quotation/tenders consecutively three times without furnishing valid reasons or as decided by the functional manager with the
approval of competent authority;

ii) Repeated non-performance or performance below specified standards (including after sales services and maintenance services);

iii) Supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider undergoing process for removal from registration or banning/debarment may also be put on a holiday listing during such proceedings, if so recommended by the competent authority.

2.3.3 Removal from List of Registered Supplier/Contractor/Consultant/Service Provider

In cases of deficiencies in any of performance issues listed below, such supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider may be removed from the list of registered supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider after following due process. The vendors removed from the list of registered vendors are neither invited to bid nor are their bids considered for evaluation. Whenever a firm is removed from the list of registered supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider, its registration stands cancelled but the supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider data should not be deleted from the (computer) system. Supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider removed from the list of registered vendors or their related entities may be allowed to apply afresh for registration after the expiry of the period of removal. Performance issues which may justify removal of the supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider from the list of registered supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider are:

i) The supplier fails to abide by the terms and conditions or to maintain the required technical/operational staff/equipment or there is change in its production/service line affecting its performance adversely, or fails to cooperate or qualify in the review for registration;

ii) If the firm ceases to exist or is acquired by or merged with another firm, or ceases to operate in the category of requirements for which it is registered;

iii) Bankruptcy or insolvency on the part of the supplier as declared by a court of law; or

iv) Banning by State Government/Department or any other Government agency;

v) Other than in situations of force majeure, after opening of financial bids, the supplier withdraws from the procurement process or after being declared as successful bidder: (1) withdraws from the process; (2) fails to enter into a procurement contract; or (3) fails to provide performance security or any other document or security required in terms of the bidding documents;

vi) If the Central Bureau of Investigation/CVC/C&AG or any other investigating agency recommends such a course in respect of a case underinvestigation;

vii) Employs a Government servant within two years of his retirement, who has had business dealings with him in an official capacity before retirement; or

viii) Any other ground, based on which the registering authority considers, that continuation of registration is not in public interest.

2.3.4 Banning of Firms

When the misconduct and moral turpitude of a firm goes beyond mere performance
issues, imposition of a ban on business relations with the firm may be done for a specified period of time (not exceeding three years), after following the debarment procedures. No contract of any kind whatsoever shall be placed with a banned firm including its allied firms after the issue of a banning order. Contracts concluded before the issue of the banning order shall, however, remain unaffected. Departments should ensure that, before sending the proposal for banning of business, the name of the defaulting firm is removed from its list of registered vendors. An order for banning passed for a certain specified period shall be deemed to have been automatically revoked on the expiry of that specified period and it will not be necessary to issue a specific formal order of revocation, except that an order of banning passed on account of doubtful loyalty to the country or national security considerations shall continue to remain in force until it is specifically revoked. However, the firm would have to apply afresh for registration with the procuring entities. Firms or individuals and any of their successors should be banned or debarred for under mentioned periods, if the firm or its partners/directors/agents/representatives/employees with the knowledge of the firm or without it, indulge in following misdemeanours:

i)  **State wide Debarment:** Debarment from participating in a procurement process of any Procuring Entity of Government of Tripura, for a period not exceeding three years, if the proprietor of the firm, its employee, partner or representative,
   
a) is convicted of any offence by a court under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988;
   
b) is convicted of any offence by a court under the Indian Penal Code or any other law for the time being in force for causing any loss of life or property or causing a threat to public health or to any other legal liability to The Procuring Entity as part of execution of a public procurement contract;
   
c) is suspected to have doubtful loyalty to the country or national security considerations as determined by appropriate agencies of Union or State government ;
   
d) any other ground, based on which the State Governing Body, considers that

   banning is in public interest;

   ii) **Debarment from Concerned Procuring Entity:** Debarment from participating in any procurement process undertaken by the concerned Procuring Entity, for a period not exceeding two years, if there is strong justification for believing that the proprietor or employee or representative of the firm has been guilty of violation of the Code of Integrity for Public Procurement or Integrity Pact, evasion or habitual default in payment of any tax levied by law; etc. The Department of the Procuring Entity will maintain such list which will also be displayed on their website.

2.3.5  **Debarment Procedures**

Punitive actions of various grades of debarment against the supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider should be examined by a Committee and approved by competent authority at the level of the Deputy HOD in case of holiday listing; HOD in case of removal; and nominated Additional Secretary of the Finance Department in case of banning. Due to principles of natural justice, it should be treated as a quasi-judicial function following principles of natural justice, on lines akin to disciplinary proceedings. The Departments will furnish lists of such supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider who have committed misdemeanours listed in paras above to a constituted Committee for considering various grades of debarment. On receipt of information from Departments or suo moto, the Committee should take action to issue a show cause notice to such firms. The Committee may also order an interim debarment (Holiday Listing) during the process considering the severity of demeanour. The supplier/contractor/consultant/service provider should be given adequate opportunity (including an oral hearing by the competent authority) to make
representations. If the response to the show cause notice is not satisfactory or not acceptable, such firms should be put on suitable grade of debarment, depending on the severity of the misdemeanour. Appeals would lie at a level higher than the competent authority. In case of Debarment from concerned Procuring Entity, the concerned Department will maintain such list which will also be displayed on their website and a copy of the order should also, be sent to the other Procuring Entities of the state.

2.3.6 Safeguarding Procuring Entity's Interests during Debarment of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant/Service Provider

Suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers are important assets for the procuring entities and punishing delinquent suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers should be the last resort. It takes lot of time and effort to develop, register and mature a new supplier. In case of shortage of suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers in a particular group of materials/equipment, such punishment may also hurt the interest of Procuring Entity. Therefore, views of the concerned department may always be sought about the repercussions of such punitive action on the continuity of procurements. Past records of performance of the supplier may also be given due weightage. In case of shortage of suppliers/contractors/consultants/service providers and in cases of less serious misdemeanours, the endeavour should be to pragmatically analyse the circumstances, reform the supplier and get a written commitment from the supplier that his performance will improve. If this fails, efforts should be to see if a temporary debarment can serve the purpose.
TYPES OF CONTRACTS AND SYSTEMS OF SELECTION OF CONSULTANTS/SERVICE PROVIDERS

3.1 Types of Contracts

There are different basis for linking payments to the performance of services (called types of contracts) – each having different risks and mitigation measures. Bids are called and evaluated based on the type of contract. The choice of the type of contract should be based on Value for Money (VfM) with due regard to the nature of assignment. Adoption of an inappropriate type of contract could lead to a situation of lack of competition, contractual disputes and non-performance/failure of the contract. Each type of contract is described briefly in subsequent paras and criteria are suggested for their adoption along with risks and mitigation measures. Mostly used types of contracts are:

i) Lump-sum (Firm Fixed Price) contract;
ii) Time based (Retainer-ship) contracts;
iii) Percentage (Success Fee) contract;
iv) Retainer-ship cum Success fee based contract;
v) Indefinite delivery contract.

However, in case of Procurement/Outsourcing of other (Non-consulting) Services depending on the nature of services, can be either Lump-sum contracts, Time-based (Retainer-ship) contracts, or unit (item/service) rate (say Taxi Service on per Km basis) based contract (as in case of Goods and Works) – or a mix of these. In certain uncertain but regularly needed services, indefinite delivery contracts, based on time or unit (item/service) rates may be appropriate. Other types of contracts are not usual in procurement of other services.

3.2 Lump-sum (Firm Fixed Price) Contract

3.2.1 The Lump-sum (firm fixed price) contract is the preferred form of contract and under normal circumstances; the Procuring Entity shall use this form of contract. Consultant’s proposal is deemed to include all prices – no arithmetical correction or price adjustments are allowed during evaluation. Lump-sum consultancy contracts are easy to administer because there is fixed price for a fixed scope and payments are linked to clearly specified outputs/milestones/ deliverables such as reports, documents, drawings, bills of quantities, software programs and so on. In view of risks mentioned below this type of contracts are widely used for simple planning and feasibility studies, environmental studies, detailed design of standard or common structures, preparation of data processing systems and so forth.

3.3 Time-Based (Retainer-ship) Contract

3.3.1 In Time-based (Retainer-ship) contracts payments are based on agreed hourly, daily, weekly or monthly rates for staff (who in consultancy contracts are normally named, but not so in other services) and on reimbursable items using actual expenses and/or agreed unit prices. These are also called as retainer ship contracts, since the consultant/service provider are retained for a pre-decided period. The rates for staff include salary, social costs, overhead, fee (or profit) and, where appropriate, special allowances. This type of contract is appropriate when Lump-sum contract is not feasible due to difficulties in defining the scope and the length of services, either because the inputs required for attaining the objectives of the assignment is difficult to assess or because the services are tied up to activities by others for which the completion period may vary.
Because of risks and mitigations mentioned below, this type of contract is widely used for complex studies, supervision of construction, advisory services and most training assignments etc.

### 3.4 Percentage (Success/Contingency Fee) Contract

3.4.1 Percentage (Success/Contingency Fee) contracts directly relate the fees paid to the consultant/service provider to the estimated or actual project cost, or the cost of the goods procured or inspected. Since the payment is made after the successful realisation of objectives, it is also called success (or contingency) fee contract. The final selection is made among the technically qualified consultants/service providers who have quoted the lowest percentage while the notional value of assets is fixed.

These contracts are commonly used for appropriate architectural services; procurement and inspection agents.

### 3.5 Retainer and Success(Contingency)Fee Contract

3.5.1 In Retainer and Success (Contingency) fee contracts the remuneration of the consultant includes a retainer (time based, monthly payment) and a success fee (Percentage based payment). The latter being normally expressed as a percentage of the estimated or actual Project cost. Thus, this type of contract is a combination of Time Based and Percentage Contracts.

### 3.6 Indefinite Delivery Contract (Price Agreement)

3.6.1 These contracts are used when Procuring Entity need to have “on call” specialized services, the extent and timing of which cannot be defined in advance. This is akin to the system of ‘Rate Contracts’ or framework contracts in the Procurement of Goods. There is no commitment from Procuring Entity for the quantum of work that may be assigned to the consultant/service provider. The Procuring Entity and the firm agree on the unit rates to be paid and payments are made on the basis of the time/quantum of service actually used. The consultant/service provider shall be selected based on the unit rate quoted by them for providing the services.

These are commonly used to retain “advisers” or avail services “on-call” - for example; expert adjudicators for dispute resolution panels, institutional reforms, procurement advice, technical troubleshooting, Document Management, Taxi Services, Temporary Manpower Deployment and so forth – normally over a period of a year or more.
37 Systems of Selection of Service Providers

3.7.1 Since the quality and scope of a consultancy assignment are not tangibly identifiable and consistently measurable, the technical and financial capability of consultants becomes an important though indirect determinant for quality and scope of performance. In such a situation value for money (VfM) is achieved by encouraging wide and open competition among equally competent consultant. Thus, selection of consultants is normally done in a two stage process. In the first stage, likely capable sources are shortlisted, if need be through an ‘Expression of Interest’ (EoI) through advertisement. On the basis of responses received, consultants meeting the relevant qualification and experience requirements for the given assignment are shortlisted for further consideration. The shortlist should include a sufficient number, not fewer than three (3) and not more than eight (8) eligible firms. In the second stage, the shortlisted consultants are invited to submit their technical and financial (RfP) proposals generally in separate sealed envelopes. Evaluation of the technical proposal is carried out by evaluators without access to the financial part of the proposal. Financial proposals are opened after evaluation of quality.

3.7.2 The relative importance of Quality and Price aspects may vary from assignment to assignment depending on complexities/criticality of quality requirements, internal capability of Procuring Entity to engage and supervise the assignment as well as the value of procurements. Hence different systems of selection of consultants/service providers are designed to achieve appropriate relative importance (weightage) of Quality and Price aspects. Decision on system of selection is normally preceded by an assessment of the capacity of the user to engage and supervise the implementation of proposed assignment. The selection method chosen depends to some extent on this assessment. The selection method chosen for selection of service providers should also take into account the likely field of bidders.

3.7.3 The nomenclature of various selection methods below is in line with generally prevalent nomenclature and therefore, varies slightly from the terms used in the 2006 version of Finance Ministry’s ‘Manual of Policies and Procedure of Employment of Consultants’.

i) Price based System – Least Cost Selection (LCS)

ii) Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS)

iii) Direct Selection: Single Source Selection (SSS)

3.7.4 Unlike Procurement of Consultancy Services, procurement of other services is done by a simpler process akin to those of Procurement of Goods and Works. In procurement of other (Non-consultancy) services normally system of selection used is lowest price (L-1) basis as in procurement of Goods/Works for technically responsive offers. Under very special circumstances Single Source Selection may also be used. However, in highly technical and complex services, where quality is important (say in studies like seismic surveys, airborne data acquisition etc) where use of QCBS system appears to be called for, it may be better handled as a consultancy contract.
3.8 Price based System- Least Cost Selection (LCS)

3.8.1 In this method of selection, consultants/service providers submit both a technical proposal and a financial proposal at the same time. Minimum qualifying marks for quality of the technical proposal are prescribed as benchmark (normally 75 (Seventy five) out of maximum 100 (Hundred)) and indicated in the RfP along with a scheme for allotting marks for various technical criteria/attributes. The technical proposals are opened first and evaluated and the offers who are qualifying as per these technical evaluation criteria will only be considered as technically responsive. The rest would be considered technically non-responsive and would be dropped from the list. Financial proposals are then opened for only eligible and responsive offers (Financial bids of other non-responsive bidders are returned un-opened) and ranked. L-1 offer out of the responsive offers is selected on price criteria alone without giving any additional weightage to marks/ranking of technical proposal.

LCS is considered suitable for recruiting consultants/service providers for most assignments that are of a standard or routine nature (such as audits and engineering design of non-complex works) where well-established practices and standards exist. It is the simplest and the quickest system of selection under normal circumstances. This method of selection shall be used as default since it allows for minimum satisfactory technical efficiency with economy. Justification must be provided if a selection method other than LCS is to be used.

3.8 Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS)

3.8.1 In QCBS selection, minimum qualifying marks (normally 70-80 (Seventy – Eighty) out of maximum 100 (Hundred) marks) as benchmark for quality of the technical proposal will be prescribed and indicated in the RfP along with a scheme for allotting marks for various technical criteria/attributes. During evaluation of technical proposal, quality score is assigned out of the maximum 100 (Hundred) marks, to each of the responsive bids, as per the scheme laid down in the RfP. The consultants/service providers who are qualifying as per the technical evaluation criteria are considered as technically responsive and the rest would be considered technically non-responsive and would be dropped from the list. Financial proposals are then opened for only eligible and responsive offers and other financial offers are returned unopened to bidders. The financial proposals are also given cost-score based on relative ranking of prices, with 100 (Hundred) marks for the lowest and pro-rated lower marks for higher priced offers. The total score shall be obtained by weighting the quality and cost scores and adding them. The weight given to the technical score may not be confused with the minimum qualifying technical score (though they may in some case be equal). For example, the weightage given to cost score may be 30% (Thirty per cent) and technical score may be given weightage of 70% (Seventy per cent, but should never be more than 80%). The ratio of weightages for cost and technical score could also be 40:60 (Forty : Sixty) or 50:50 (Fifty : Fifty) etc. However, the weight for the *cost* shall be chosen taking into account the complexity of the assignment and the relative importance of quality. The proposed weightings for quality and cost shall be specified in the RfP. The firm obtaining the highest total score shall be selected.
Table 1. A suggestive weighting of scores for QCBS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Quality/Cost Score Weighting (%) in QCBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High complex/downstream consequences/specialized assignments</td>
<td>Use QCBS with higher technical weightage</td>
<td>80/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate complexity</td>
<td>Majority of cases will follow this range</td>
<td>75-65/35-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignments of a standard or routine nature such as auditors/procurement agents handling the procurement</td>
<td>Use of LCS is appropriate</td>
<td>60-50/40-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.9 Direct Selection: Single Source Selection (SSS)

3.9.1 Under some special circumstances, it may become necessary to select a particular consultant/service provider where adequate justification is available for such single source selection in the context of the overall interest of Procuring Entity. The selection by SSS/nomination is permissible under exceptional circumstances such as:

i) tasks that represent a natural continuation of previous work carried out by the firm;

ii) in case of an emergency situation, situations arising after natural disasters, situations where timely completion of the assignment is of utmost importance;

iii) situations where execution of the assignment may involve use of proprietary techniques or only one consultant has requisite expertise;

iv) At times, other PSUs or Government Organizations are used to provide technical expertise. It is possible to use the expertise of such institutions on a SSS basis;

v) Under some special circumstances, it may become necessary to select a particular consultant where adequate justification is available for such single-source selection in the context of the overall interest of the Department. Full justification for single source selection should be recorded in the file and approval of the competent authority obtained before resorting to such single source selection.

Procuring Entity shall ensure fairness and equity and shall have a procedure in place to ensure that:

a) the prices are reasonable and consistent with market rates for tasks of a similar nature; and

b) the required consultancy services are not split into smaller sized procurement.
PREPARING FOR PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES

4.1 Preparation of Terms of Reference (ToR)

4.1.1 ToR is akin to Description, Quantity and Technical Specification in Procurement of Goods. This is the first step in the selection of the consultants once a need has been identified. A ToR explains the purpose/objectives of the assignment, scope of work, activities, tasks to be performed, respective responsibilities of the Procuring Entity and consultant, expected results and deliverables of the assignment. ToR is important for an understanding of the assignment and its correct execution to ensure that the objectives of assignment are achieved. It reduces the risk for the Procuring Entity of unnecessary extra work, delays and of additional expenses. In addition, it helps in reducing for the bidders the risk of ambiguities during the preparation of bidder's proposals, contract negotiation and execution of Consultancy.

4.1.2 Hence, ToR should be comprehensive and unambiguous. However, it should not be too detailed and inflexible, so that competing consultants may be in a position to propose their own methodology and staffing. Bidders shall be encouraged to comment on the ToR in their proposals. The ToR shall include:

i) Procuring Entity's organisation background and project background;

ii) Purpose and service outcomes statement of the assignment; (refer to chapter –1)

iii) Detailed scope of work statement including schedule for completing the assignment;

iv) Expected requirement of key professionals and kind of expertise;

v) Capacity-building programme and transfer of knowledge, if any;

vi) Deliverables - List of reports (or documents, data, maps, surveys, designs, drawings), schedule of deliveries and period of performance;

vii) Background material, data, reports, records of previous surveys and so on, available and to be provided to the consultant;

viii) Facilities such as local conveyance, office space, office machines, secretarial assistance, utilities, local services, etc., which would be provided to the consultant by the Procuring Entity;

ix) Institutional and organisational arrangement; and

x) Procedure for review of the work of consultant after award of contract.

4.1.3 A template for developing a ToR is given at Annexure 4. It should cover following aspects:

i) Detailed Scope of Work

As part of the ToR, at its simplest, the 'Detailed Scope of Work' will contain the type and volume of activity to be undertaken and the time frame of activity involved to achieve the Purpose and Service Outcomes as envisaged in the 'Brief proposal and Justification of the Services' (refer chapter –1). Starting from end-outcomes backwards, the process to achieve the outcomes is broken down into a discrete number of interrelated tasks, which the consultant will have to undertake. In consultancy services, the 'Detailed Scope of Work' should describe only the activities, not the approach or methodology by which the results are to be achieved, since these are the task of the consultants. However, suggestions may be provided on the approach or the methodology that the consultants could or should use to execute the assignment. After the tasks are identified, a logical sequencing of the tasks must be determined. Usually a simple bar chart (or Gantt-chart) is the best way to illustrate required
outputs over time and their relationship to each other. The ‘Detailed Scope of Work’ contains such a sequence of tasks over a timeline and also tangible outputs and activities such as reports, workshops, or seminars.

ii) Expected Requirement of Key Professionals and Kind of Expertise

Except in very complex consultancies, it is desirable to not to distinguish the tasks of individual experts but instead to prepare a longer and more detailed description of what the consultancy team, as a whole, will provide without splitting up tasks. These are generally known as “activity based” ToR as opposed to “position based” ToR. The ToR would list a range of tasks without regard to who will have the responsibility to undertake them. In most of the cases, where the number of experts is small, the work to be done is not clearly defined and a degree of flexibility is required—this is acceptable. In consultancy services, key professionals are usually named and their credentials carry weightage in technical evaluation.

iii) Deliverables and Reports Requirements

The assignments deliverables and reporting should be clearly specified. In particular, for inception and progress reports, there should be a balance between keeping the Procuring Entity well informed and not forcing consultants to spend an excessive amount of time preparing minor reports. The ToR should indicate the format, frequency and content of reports as well as the number of copies, the language and the names of the prospective recipients of the reports. For all major reports, an executive summary is recommended as a separate section. Depending on the assignment, the following reports are usually required:

a) **Inception Report**: This report should be submitted about six weeks after the commencement date. Any major inconsistency in the ToR, staffing problems, or deficiency in Procuring Entity’s assistance that have become apparent during this period should be included. The inception report is designed to give the Procuring Entity confidence that the assignment can be carried out as planned and as agreed upon in the contract and should bring to its attention major problems that might affect the direction and progress of the work.

b) **Progress Reports**: These reports keep the Procuring Entity regularly informed about the progress of the assignment. They may also provide warning of anticipated problems or serve as a reminder for payment of invoices due. Depending on the assignment, progress reports may be delivered monthly or bi-monthly. For feasibility studies and design assignments, delivery of progress reports at two month intervals is satisfactory. For technical assistance and implementation supervision, for instance in construction, progress reports are best submitted monthly. Progress reports may include a bar chart showing details of progress and any changes in the assignment schedule. Photographs with time

c) **Stamping**: are a quick and easy way of conveying the status of a project and their use in progress reports should be encouraged. For technical assistance services, progress reports also serve as a means of setting out the work program for the following months. Each team member usually contributes to the preparation of the monthly report.

d) **Interim Reports**: If the assignment is phased, interim reports are required to inform the Procuring Entity of preliminary results, alternative solutions and major decisions that need to be made. Since the recommendations of an interim report may affect later phases of the assignment...
and even influence the results of the project, the Procuring Entity should discuss the draft interim reports with consultants in the field. The Procuring Entity should not take more than 15 (Fifteen) days to review and approve draft interim reports.

e) **Final Report**: The final report is due at the completion of the assignment. The Procuring Entity and consultants should discuss the report while it is still in draft form. The consultants alone are responsible for their findings, although changes may be suggested in the course of the discussions. Consultants should not be forced to make such changes. If the consultants do not accept comments or recommendations from the Procuring Entity, these should be noted in the report. The consultants should include in the report the reasons for not accepting such changes.

iv) **Background material, records of previous surveys etc., available and to be provided to the consultant.** This would vary from project to project, but transparency demands that such information should be transparently and equitably shared with all prospective bidders;

v) **Facilities such as local conveyance, office space, secretarial assistance etc., which can be provided to the consultant.** This aspect has a great bearing on the cost that will be quoted by the bidders. This can have implications in vitiating the selection process either way – a facility to be provided may not get declared or a declared facility may not be provided ultimately. So, great care and reality check is necessary, while preparing this statement;

vi) **Procedure for review of the consultancy after award of contract**

In consultancy services, the Consultancy Monitoring Committee (CMC) and procedure for review and approval of work of the consultant after the award of contract should also be declared and adhered to.
4.2. Estimating Costs, Setting the Budget and Seeking Approval

4.2.1. Preparation of a well-thought-through cost estimate is essential if realistic budgetary resources are to be earmarked.

i) **Categories:** Costs shall be divided into two broad categories—(a) fee or remuneration (according to the type of contract used); and (b) reimbursable costs. Depending on the nature of the assignment, cost estimates may be prepared either in local currency or with a combination of local plus foreign currencies. Cost estimate should provide for forecast of inflation during the period of assignment.

ii) **Estimated Resources:** The cost estimate shall be based on the Procuring Entity's assessment of the resources needed to carry out the assignment:

   a) Stafftime;
   
   b) Logistical support (city, National and International travels/trips and durations);
   
   c) Physical inputs (for example, vehicles, laboratory equipment);
   
   d) Miscellaneous (support services, contingencies and profit element, taxes and duties)

iii) **Rates:** Costs are normally estimated using unit rates (staff remuneration rates, reimbursable expenses) and quantities (exceptionally some items may be estimated on the Lump-sum basis or percentage basis – Contingencies and support services). Rates of payment should be identified (including applicable taxes if any) in local and foreign currency for Staff Time, Logistics Costs and Costs of various physical inputs/support services.

iv) **Staff Costs:** The estimate of staff cost is based on an estimate of the personnel time (staff-months or staff-hours) required for carrying out the assignment taking into account the time required by each expert, his or her billing rate and the related direct cost component. In general, staff remuneration rates include basic salary, social charges, overheads, fees or profit and allowances. It is useful to prepare a bar chart indicating the duration of each main activity (work schedule) and time to be spent by different members of the consultancy team (staffing schedule) distinguishing tasks to be carried out by foreign and local consultants. Due consideration should be given to the expected breakdown of a consultant’s time in the home office, in the client's countries and away from home office allowance.

v) **Logistic Costs:** Number of trips required should be estimated as required to carry out various activities. Travel costs may be included for city travel, National and International travel and stay.

vi) **Physical Inputs Costs:** Assessment of such costs would depend on the technical requirements of equipment.

vii) **Miscellaneous costs:** Support services may be taken as a percentage of staff costs. Contingencies and profit elements are usually taken as a percentage of the total cost of the Consultancy. To this would be added the taxes and duties likely to be incurred by the consultants.
4.2.2. Although assignments vary in size, length and nature, it is possible to make a cost estimate by breaking down the assignment's activities into the following cost categories:

- Professional and support staff;
  - Travel, Hotel and transport;
- Mobilisation and demobilisation;
- Office rent, Furniture/Equipment, supplies, Utilities, IT equipment and communication;
- Assignment related surveys, training programmes;
- Translation, report printing;
- Contingencies: miscellaneous, insurance, shipping; and
- Indirect local taxes and duties in connection with carrying out the services.

A mismatch between the cost estimate and the ToRs is likely to mislead consultants on the desired scope, depth and details of service required and this could lead to serious problems during contract negotiations or during implementation of the assignment.

4.3. Finalizing and Approval of the ToR

The scope of the work described in the ToR shall be compatible with the available budget. The most important step is to determine whether all tasks required to achieve the desired output have been included in the ToR. The next step is to determine whether adequate budget has been allocated to implement the ToR as designed. Since the budget may be fixed or limited, a series of iterations may be required before a final, acceptable ToR is formulated. CA's (Competent Authority) approval may be taken for the ToR before proceeding ahead.

After administrative approval, provision may be made in the budget or if that is not feasible, additional confirmation at the time of seeking administrative approval may be taken from the CA for inclusion in the revised estimate stage of budget. Procurement may be initiated only after such budgetary provisions/confirmations.

4.4. Developing a Procurement Plan

Services. Once a project or a program is identified, the Procuring Entity needs to develop synchronised procurement plan for all the various components of the project/programme. This will also require planning of the sequence and contents of the different components including consultancy, adoption of the most appropriate method of selection and type of contract and ensuring that consultant selection is initiated and completed to meet the overall requirements of project implementation. For example, if a consultant is required for a large road project construction supervision, the entire sequence of preparation of the feasibility report, detailed design and bidding document, time required for inviting bids for construction work and award of contract has to be taken into account so that the construction supervision consultant is mobilised before the award of the construction contract.
4.5. e-Procurement

4.5.1. Electronic procurement (e-Procurement) is the use of information and communication technology (specially the internet) by the buyer in conducting procurement processes with the vendors/contractors for the acquisition of Goods (supplies), Works and Services aimed at open, non-discriminatory and efficient procurement through transparent procedures. It is now mandatory for Departments to receive all bids through e procurement portals in respect of all procurements. A generic description of how e-Procurement is conducted is given in Appendix 3.

4.5.2. Departments will follow the guidelines issued by Finance Department, Govt. of Tripura with regards to e-tendering.
SHORTLISTING STAGE IN PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES

5.1 Shortlisting of qualified consultants – Expression of Interest (EoI)

5.1.1 Due to inherent complexities of evaluation of physically non-measurable scope and quality standards of consultancy proposals, it is too time consuming and expensive for the Procuring Entity to invite (as well for the Consultancy firms to prepare) and evaluate proposals from all consultants who want to compete. Therefore, instead of publicly inviting all interested bidders to present their bids, the consultant selection process is based on obtaining limited number of proposals from a short list of qualified firms that, in the Procuring Entity’s view of experience, are capable and can be trusted to deliver the required services at the desired level of quality.

5.1.2 In Procurement of Consultancy, these considerations can be best addressed through unrestricted competition among qualified shortlisted firms or individuals in which selection is based on the quality of the proposal and, where appropriate, on the cost of services to be provided. Hence Procurement of Consultancy needs to be done in a two stage process. In the first stage of procurement, the qualified firms are shortlisted transparently. In the second stage Request for Proposals (RfP) containing Technical and Financial Bids is invited from such shortlisted bidders to select the winning bidder. Care should be taken to avoid formation of unreasonable qualification criteria prior to shortlisting of consultants that may lead to restricted participation.

Unlike Procurement of Consultancy Services, procurement of other (Non-consultancy) services is done by a simpler process akin to those of procurement of Goods and Works. It is normally done in a Single Stage (RfP) Process.

The guidelines with regards to tender as issued in DFPRT, 2017 will prevail.

5.1.3 The EoI document shall contain following sections:

i) Letter of Invitation;
   It shall include a copy of the advertisement whereby consultants are invited to submit their EoI.

ii) Instructions to the consultants;
   It may include instructions regarding nature of job; submission requirement; requirement of bid processing fees; if any; last date of submission; place of submission; and any related instruction;

iii) Description of Services- Brief Purpose and Scope of Work;
   This may include brief purpose/objective statement; Service outcomes statement; broad scope of work including time-frames; inputs to be provided by the Procuring Entity; and expected deliverables of the assignment. This may also include the place of execution of the assignment. The request for EoI shall not include the assignment ToR. The consultants may also be asked to send their comments on the objectives and scope of the work or service projected in the enquiry.
iv) Qualification Criteria;

This may clearly lay down the qualification criteria which shall be applied by the Procuring Entity for short listing the consultants. The REoI should ask for sufficient information so that the Procuring Entity may evaluate the consultant's capabilities and eligibility to undertake the assignment. Information should include: (a) core business and years in business; (b) qualifications in the field of the assignment; (c) technical and managerial organisation of the firm; and (d) general qualifications and number of key staff. In addition, the consultants should indicate information relating to their eligibility and any conflict of interest that they know may impact objective performance and impartial advice for their services. Consultants should not be asked about their approach to the services or to submit any curricula vitae of key personnel because these documents will be dealt with in the RfP. No legal documents such as certificates of incorporation of the firm, powers of attorney, financial statements or translations of standard brochures should be requested. Given the often-large number of submissions, the advertisement should stress the importance of brevity of the information to be sent.

A sample format for seeking an EoI is given at Annexure 6.

5.2 Short List of Consultants

5.2.1 The Procuring Entity shall evaluate the consultants for shortlisting, inter-alia, based on their past experience of handing similar types of projects, strength of their man power and financial strength of the firm. For example, it is important to find out if the firm: (i) is a small specialised firm or a large firm with access to a pool of expertise; (ii) has been in business for an extended period and has a track record in the field of assignment and in the region; and (iii) has appropriate certification in in-house quality control (firm adheres to the requirement of International Organization for Standardization (ISO) as relevant to the task and has an ethics code in place.

5.2.2 It is important for the Procuring Entity to hire consultants who have a reputation for integrity and impartiality rooted in independence from third parties. It is seen that the process of shortlisting is one of the most difficult and time-consuming tasks in the selection process of a consultant. This could be eased by writing a clear Description of Service (objectives and scope) and shortlisting criteria.

5.2.3 Finally, if the same firm is considered for concurrent assignments (for example, a construction supervision consultant for different stretches/packages of rehabilitation/reconstruction of a road contract), the Procuring Entity shall assess the firm's overall capacity to perform multiple contracts before including it in more than one short list. However this needs to be pre-declared in the EoI documents.

5.2.4 The short list of firms is required for the selection of consultancy services in a competitive process with a minimum of three (Rule 184 of GFR 2017) and generally not more than eight.

5.2.5 The Procuring Entity may assign scores to the response of each consultant based on weightages assigned to each of the criteria in the EoI. Each criteria may be sub-divided into further sub-criteria, if called for. Normally, the weightages shown in Table 1 may be used for such an
evaluation (this is just an indicative criteria to assist the evaluators. The criteria and their weightage may be changed as per the need of Procuring Entity).

Table 1. Qualification criteria and their weightages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Weightage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-criteria</td>
<td>Criteria Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Past experience of the consultant (track record)</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of years’s relevant experience</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Past experience of studies of similar nature</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Past experience in carrying out</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Studies in the related sector</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Studies carried out in the region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General profile of qualification, experience and number of key staff</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(not individual CVs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Qualifications</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Relevant experience</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Overall financial strength of the consultant in terms of turnover,</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>profitability and cash flow (liquid assets) situation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turnover figure for last three years.</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Net profit figure for last three years</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.6 The Procuring Entity shall shortlist all the consultants who secure the minimum required marks [normally 75% (seventy five percent)]. The minimum qualifying requirement shall be specified in the EoI document.

In EoI, simplified evaluation criteria can also be used, instead of marking schemes as mentioned above. A fail-pass, minimum benchmark in each criteria/sub-criteria can be specified e.g. Must have past experience of at least two similar projects; key professionals must have at least seven years’ experience and must have Master’s Qualification in relevant field; Firm must have a turnover of at least Rs 10 (Rupees Ten) crore and so on. Any firm which passes these benchmarks is declared as qualified.

5.2.7 However, this exercise of scoring is not merely for disqualification of firms below a threshold, but to establish the relative strengths and weaknesses of the applicants, in order to arrive at a robust short list of qualified consultants who have the required experience and qualifications to deliver the required services at the desired level of quality.

5.2.8 The short lists shall normally comprise at least three firms but not more than eight (to avoid inordinate delays in evaluation of subsequent RfP). The short list may comprise only national consultants (firms registered or incorporated in the country), for small assignments and indicated in the EoI.

5.2.9 The evaluation committee may submit its EoI evaluation report to CA for approval. Tender Committee format at Annexure 6 can be mutadis-mutandis used for this purpose.
6.1 The Evaluation Process
The selection process for consultants generally includes the following steps:

i) Preparation and issuance of the Request for Proposals (RfP);
ii) Pre-proposal meeting;
iii) Receipt of proposals;
iv) Evaluation of technical proposals: consideration of quality;
v) Public opening of financial proposals;
vi) Evaluation of financial proposals;
vii) Selection of the winning proposal;
viii) Negotiations with the selected bidder, if required; and
ix) Award of the contract to the selected firm.

6.2 Preparation and Issuance of the Request for Proposals (RfP)

6.2.1 The Request for Proposals (RfP) is the bidding document in which the technical and financial proposals from the consultants are obtained. For procurement of Consultancy Services, the RfP is sent only to the shortlisted consultants.

It contains the following sections:

i) A letter of invitation (LoI);
ii) Information to consultants (ITC) and data sheet (which contains assignment specific information);
iii) Terms of Reference (ToR)
iv) List of key experts required for the assignment;
v) Requirement of qualifications and experience of the firm and key experts;
vii) Criteria of proposal evaluation and selection procedure;
vii) Standard formats for the technical proposal;
viii) Standard formats for the financial proposal;
x) Proposed form of the contract, including General Conditions of Contract and Special Conditions of Contract;

The Procuring Entity shall use the applicable standard RfP with minimal changes as necessary to address project specific issues. The Procuring Entity may use e-Procurement platform to issue RfP.
6.2.2 Simplified Technical Proposal: In LCS system of evaluation, since the technical scores are not ranked or weighted and added to financial scores, it would suffice if instead of a detailed marking scheme for the criteria/sub-criteria, minimum fail-pass qualifying benchmarks are laid down for each criteria/sub-criteria. For such assignment technical evaluation can be carried out by following a simplified procedure for evaluation of technical quality and only a Simplified Technical Proposal (STP, instead of a Full Technical Proposal - FTP) may be called for and indicated in the data sheet of the RIP document. STP should be used when the assignment is: 1. unlikely to have significant downstream impact; 2. of a routine nature where ToR already defines details of tasks to be performed and required output and approach, methodology, organisation and staffing could be evaluated without use of sub-criteria; and

3. that characteristics of work do not require further detailed evaluation of the consultant's experience (e.g. engagement of accountants, auditors, consultant engineers etc). STP reduces the time and cost required to prepare the proposal and could be evaluated faster by the Evaluation Committee. For example following parameters can be used:

i) Minimum experience including number of assignments handled by the firm similar to the area of assignment;

ii) Turnover and other financial parameters of the firm, if required;

iii) Minimum educational qualifications of each of the key professionals;

iv) Minimum requirement of experience of the key professionals in an area similar to the proposed assignment.

All the firms which meet the minimum qualifying standards/criteria so prescribed will stand technically qualified for consideration of their financial bids.

6.2.3 Letter of Invitation (LoI)

The Letter of Invitation (LoI) shall state the intention of the Procuring Entity to enter into a contract for the provision of consultancy services, details of the Procuring Entity and date, time and address for submission of proposals.

6.2.4 Instructions to consultants (ITC)

The Instructions to consultants (ITC) shall consist of two parts: 1. standard information; and 2. assignment specific information. The assignment specific information is added through the data sheet. The ITC contains all necessary information that would help the consultants prepare responsive proposals and shall bring in as much transparency as possible to the selection procedure by providing information on the evaluation process and by indicating the evaluation criteria and factors and their respective weights and minimum passing quality score. Standard information includes clauses relating to the procedure of bid submission, pre-bid meeting for seeking clarifications and so on. The assignment/job specific information will be prepared separately and include the date and time of bid submission, contact address, qualification criteria, method of selection, evaluation process, factors of evaluation and their respective weights and soon.

Since cost is part of the selection criteria the ITC shall not indicate the budget (except in case
of Fixed Budget System of selection), but shall indicate the expected input of key professionals (staff time). Consultants, however, shall be free to prepare their own estimates of staff time necessary to carry out the assignment. The ITC shall specify the proposal validity period (normally 90 (Ninety) days).

6.2.5 Standard Formats for Technical and Financial Proposals

i) The standard formats for technical proposals include those specified for FTP or STP:
   a) Technical proposal submission form (including declaration on conflict of interest, eligibility, following Code of Integrity in Public Procurement - CIPP);
   b) For a JV, a LoI or copy of existing agreement, as applicable;
   c) Power of attorney (in case of a JV, lead member to be authorised);
   d) Consultant’s organisation and experience (for FTP only);
   e) Comments and suggestions on ToR, counterpart staff and facilities to be provided by the client (for FTP only);
   f) Description of approach and methodology and work plan for performing the assignment;
   g) Work schedule and planning for deliverables; and
   h) Team composition, key expert’s inputs, attached CVs.

ii) Format for Comments/modifications suggested on proposed form of contract.

iii) The standard formats for a financial proposal include:
   a) Financial proposal form;
   b) A summary sheet of the cost to be quoted by the Bidder;
   c) Remuneration payable; and
   d) Reimbursable expenses.
6.2.6 Important Provisions of RfP/Contracts

i) **Currency**: Under normal circumstances, all the contracts should be based on Indian Rupees only. RFPs shall clearly state that firms may express the price for their services, in the currency specified in RfP. If RfP allows proposals in any other currency, the date and the exchange rate (normally date of opening of the technical bid) for converting all the bid prices to Indian Rupees shall be indicated in RfP.

ii) **Price Adjustment**: In case the duration of the contract is expected to exceed 24 (Twentyfour) months for a time-based contract or an indefinite delivery contract, a price adjustment provision for the remuneration rate should be included in the contract based on the Consumer Price Index in the State. Lump-sum contracts shall not generally be subject to price adjustment except for small value multi-year contracts (example, for auditors).

iii) **Payment Provisions**: Payment provisions, including amounts to be paid, schedule of payments and payment procedures, shall be indicated in RfP and also in the draft contract. Payments may be made at regular intervals (as under time-based contracts) or for agreed outputs (as under Lump-sum contracts).

Ordinarily, payments for services rendered or supplies made should be released only after the services have been rendered or supplies made. However, it may become necessary to make advance payments for example in the following types of cases:

a) Advance payment demanded by firms holding maintenance contracts for servicing of Air conditioners, computers, other costly equipment, etc.

b) Advance payment demanded by firms against fabrication contracts, turn-key contracts etc.

Such advance payments should not exceed twenty per cent of the contract value.

While making any advance payment as above, adequate safeguards in the form of bank guarantee etc. should be obtained from the firm.

iv) **Bid Securities** (Rule 170 of GFR 2017): Normally in procurement of consultancy services, it is not a practice to ask for bid security. However Procuring Entity has the option of requiring a bid security in time-critical procurements. When used, the bid security shall be in the amount and form specified in the RfP documents and should remain valid for a period of 45 (Forty Five) days beyond the validity period for the proposal. Bid security should be released to unsuccessful bidders once the contract has been signed with the winning consultant at the earliest after expiry of final bid validity and latest on or before the 30th day after the award of the contract. In exceptional cases, in place of a bid security, Procuring Entities after seeking approval of the competent authority may consider asking bidders to sign a Bid Securing Declaration accepting that if they withdraw or modify their bids during the period of validity, or if they are awarded the contract and they fail to sign the contract, or to submit a performance security before the deadline defined in the request for proposals (RFP) document, they will be suspended for the period of time specified in the request for proposals document from being eligible to submit Bids/Proposals for contracts with the Procuring Entity.
v) **Proposed form of contract:** The contract includes accepted ToR methodology, general and specific conditions of contract, etc. Wherever possible, the Procuring Entity shall use the standard form of contract. The general conditions of contract shall include all such conditions which are common in nature and not project specific. Such conditions include clauses pertaining to sub contracting, methods of payment, termination and extension of contracts, arbitration, variation in quantities, indemnity and insurance, force majeure, conflict of interest, compliance to local laws and taxes and duties etc. The project specific conditions include clauses relating to the assignment in hand. These clauses should be carefully developed to protect the interest of the Procuring Entity.

vi) **Conflict of Interest:** The consultant shall not receive any other remuneration from any source in connection with the same assignment except as provided under the contract. Consultants assisting a client in privatisation of public assets shall neither purchase nor advise purchasers of such assets. Similarly, consultants hired to prepare ToR for an assignment shall not be hired for the assignment in question and shall not be in a conflict of interest situation as described in the RfP/contract.

vii) **Professional Liability:** The consultant is expected to carry out its/his assignment with due diligence and in accordance with the prevailing standards of the profession. As the consultant’s liability to the Procuring Entity will be governed by the applicable law, the contract need not deal with this matter unless the parties wish to limit this liability. If they do so, they should ensure that: (a) there must be no such limitation in case of the consultant’s gross negligence or willful misconduct; (b) the consultant’s liability to the Procuring Entity may, in no case, be limited to less than a multiplier of the total value of the contract to be indicated in the RfP and special conditions of contract (the amount of such limitation will depend on each specific case); and (c) any such limitation may deal only with the consultant’s liability toward the Procuring Entity and not with the consultant’s liability toward third parties.

viii) **Staff Substitution of Key Professional:** During an assignment where key professionals are named in the contract, if substitution is necessary (for example, because of ill health or because a staff member proves to be unsuitable, or the member is no longer working with the consultant), the consultant shall propose other staff of at least the same level of qualifications for approval by the Procuring Entity. The RfP/contract must specifically make provision for terms and conditions under which the staff can be replaced, about the remuneration to be paid and so on.

ix) **Applicable Law and Settlement of Disputes:** The contract shall include provisions dealing with the applicable law, which should be the law applicable in India and the forum for the settlement of disputes – applicable Arbitration Clause and procedures.

x) **Training or Transfer of Knowledge:** If the assignment includes an important component of training or transfer of knowledge to the Department staff, the ToR shall indicate the objectives, nature, scope and goals of the training programme, including details on trainers and trainees, skills to be transferred, timeframe and monitoring and evaluation arrangements. The cost of the training programme shall be explicitly stated in the consultant’s contract and in the budget for the assignment.

(Rule 186 of GFR 2017)
6.3 Pre-proposal Meeting

In all cases of large value or complex assignments, a pre-proposal meeting may be prescribed in the RfP. The date and time for such a meeting should normally be after 15 to 30 (Fifteen to Thirty) days of issue of the RfP and should be specified in the RfP itself. During this meeting, the scope of assignment, responsibilities of either parties or other details should be clearly explained to the prospective bidders so that there is no ambiguity later at the time of submission of technical/financial bids. Where some significant changes are made in the terms/scope of the RfP as a result of the pre-bid meeting or otherwise considered necessary by the Procuring Entity, a formal corrigendum to the RfP may be issued, to all bidders. In such cases, it should be ensured that, after issue of the corrigendum, reasonable time (not less than 15 (Fifteen) days) is available to the bidders to prepare/submit their bids. If required, the time for preparation and submission of bids may be extended, suitably.

6.4 Receipt of Proposal

The Procuring Entity should allow enough time to the bidders to prepare their proposals. The time allowed shall depend on the assignment, but normally shall not be less than three weeks and not more than three months. In cases where participation of international consultants is contemplated, a period of not less than eight weeks should normally be allowed. If necessary, the Government/Department shall extend the deadline for submission of proposals. The technical and financial proposals shall be submitted at the same time. To safeguard the integrity of the process, the technical and financial proposals shall be submitted in separate sealed envelopes, kept in an outer sealed envelope. The technical bids will be opened immediately after closing of receipt of technical bids by the Consultancy Evaluation Committee (CEC). The financial proposals shall remain sealed and shall be opened publicly only for those firms that have qualified technically. Any proposal received after the closing time for submission of proposals (Late Bids) shall not be considered (Rule 188 of GFR 2017) and shall be returned unopened. Report of Bid-opening may be prepared as per Annexure 5.

6.5 Consultancy Evaluation Committee (CEC)

6.5.1 For all cases having financial implications of more than Rs. 25 (Rupees Twentyfive) lakh, a Consultancy Evaluation Committee (CEC), comprising of normally three members, shall be constituted by the User Department, in order to carry out the consultant selection procedure. The CEC should not be very large as it may slow down the evaluation process. However, suitable domain/technical experts may be included in the committee to render assistance in evaluation of the bids. The CEC shall be responsible for all aspects and stages of the consultant selection, that is, evaluation of EoI, shortlisting of consultants, deciding TORs, issuance of RfP, evaluation of technical and financial proposals, negotiations and final selection of the consultant. There is no need to constitute any other committee for technical evaluation, preliminary evaluation, etc.

6.5.2 The senior representative of the User Department will work as a convener of the CEC. He shall distribute the RfP to the CEC members and request them to familiarize themselves with the characteristics and requirements of the assignment, the selection procedures and the evaluation criteria and sub-criteria. The convener of the CEC should also call meeting of the CEC members to review any questions they may have on the evaluation principles, procedures and objectives, etc.

6.5.3 Technical proposals for consultancy services are an intellectual product. Their evaluation must be based on individual professional judgement of competent evaluators and should not be reduced to a purely arithmetical exercise. The difficulty is to ensure that
this judgement is not exercised in an unreasonable or arbitrary manner. It is important that subjectivity, implicit to any individual professional judgement, be complemented by transparency, consistency and fairness. The individual evaluator entrusted with the evaluation, when required, should be able to explain to the satisfaction of a qualified reviewer from the higher authority or to enforcement agencies the reason for his/her scoring and recommendation. One way to achieve this objective is by adopting a rating/grading system for evaluation of the criteria and sub-criteria (if so specified in the RfP) in the technical proposals.

6.5.4. After the review meeting, the CEC meets again to define the grades of the rating system to be adopted for scoring the technical proposals (if not detailed in the RfP), according to the criteria and sub-criteria set out in the Data Sheet. To discourage subjectivity and avoid the use of points and fractions of points, the rating system provides a few grades (from three to four) for each criteria and sub-criteria. Minimum qualifying marks or relative qualifying method for quality of the technical proposal will be prescribed and indicated in the RfP. The grading system must be defined before the technical proposals are opened to prevent bias (or perceived bias) approach, occurring because of the CEC’s knowledge of the opened proposal contents. It is recommended that the evaluation and scoring of technical proposals be carried out only after defining the grading system. Otherwise, CEC members would have to assign a level of responsiveness of the proposals to each of the different criteria and sub-criteria without guidance and support from pre-defined grades. This could easily distort the evaluation for the following main reasons:

i) Evaluators may differ, even widely, in their definition, understanding, or interpretation of the same criteria and also because of their subjective experience and understanding of the ToR;

ii) Disparities in evaluators’ relative generosity or severity in judgment and ratings can easily be magnified by the lack of common definitions of the requirements to be considered for each criteria and sub-criteria;

iii) Large differences in scores caused by inadequate understanding of the ToR or improper use of the evaluation criteria and sub-criteria are difficult to reconcile and explain.

6.5.5 Before starting the evaluation, the CEC members should ensure that they:

i) have no conflict of interest;

ii) understand the rating and scoring system;

iii) have been provided with evaluation worksheets; and

iv) agree on how to evaluate the proposals.

6.5.6 After the rating system has been defined and proposals have been opened, the evaluation process can begin. Members of the CEC should not engage in any communication with short-listed firms from the date of their appointment to the date on which the contract is awarded.

6.5.7 Precise and exact markings of criteria and sub-criteria specified in technical evaluation (especially of un-quantifiable criteria e.g. Evaluation of Methodology) may neither be feasible nor warranted, especially when there is bound to be variation among marks by different members of CEC. Instead of assigning marks over the full range of attributes, it is more appropriate to divide the range into 4-5 slabs of ratings. A possible example of rating could be:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Detailed Evaluation, in case of unquantifiable Criteria</th>
<th>Marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>The service providers have outstanding, advanced expertise in specific problem areas of the assignment that can promise an excellent execution of the assignment. The service providers’ staff includes top experts in the field of the assignment. The service providers are considered world-class specialists in the approaches and methodologies dealing with specific issues in the assignment. The service providers operate according to well-established Quality Management (ISO 9002 etc.) Procedures.</td>
<td>Full Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>The service providers have extensive experience in the field of the assignment and have worked in Regions and Sectors with similar physical and institutional conditions, including similar critical issues. Permanent staff are adequate and highly qualified to cover the requirements of the assignment. The service providers have experience with advanced approaches and methodologies for dealing with the specific requirements of the assignment.</td>
<td>80% of Full Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>The service providers have experience in the field of assignments similar to the one being considered, but have not dealt with critical issues specific to it (such as, for instance, delicate social or environmental issues). The service providers are experienced in the use of standard approaches and methodologies required for the assignment. The service providers’ permanent staff are adequate.</td>
<td>60% of Full Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>The service provider has experience which is not considered adequate for the quality needed by the project.</td>
<td>30% of Full Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Not Relevant</td>
<td>The service provider’s experience has no or little relevance to the project under consideration.</td>
<td>10% of Full Marks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.5.8 The evaluation of the proposals shall be carried out in two stages: At the first stage evaluation of responsiveness and technical proposals is taken up. Evaluators of technical proposals shall not have access to the financial proposals until the technical evaluation is concluded as the envelope containing the financial proposal is not opened till the technical evaluation is complete. The financial proposal of only such bidders will be opened which obtain minimum qualifying marks/standards prescribed for the technical proposal. The evaluation shall be carried out in full conformity with the provisions of the RfP.
6.5.9 CEC duties are to be discharged personally by the nominated officers. They may take help of their subordinate officers by way of reports/evaluations, but they would still be answerable for such decisions. CEC members cannot co-opt or nominate others to attend deliberations on their behalf. CEC deliberations are best held across the table and not through circulation of notes.

All members of the CEC should resolve their differences through personal discussions instead of making to and fro references in writing. In cases where it is not possible to come to a consensus and differences persist amongst CEC members, the reasons for dissent of a member should be recorded in a balanced manner along with the majority’s views on the dissent note. The final recommendations should be that of the majority view. However, such situations should be rare. The Competent Authority (CA) can overrule such dissent notes after recording reasons for doing so clearly. His decision would be final.

In cases where the CA does not agree with the majority or unanimous recommendations of the CEC, he should record his views and, if possible, firstly send it back to CEC to reconsider along the lines of the competent authority’s views. However, if the CEC, after considering the views of the CA, sticks to its own earlier recommendations, the CA can finally decide as deemed fit, duly recording detailed reasons. He will be responsible for such decisions. However, such situations should be rare.

(Rule 189 of GFR 2017)

### 6.6 First Stage of Evaluation: Consideration of Responsiveness

Each member of the CEC should first read all proposals, without scoring them. This first review helps determine whether the proposals are free of significant omissions or deviations from the ToR or other key requirements of the RfP; it also allows CEC members to assess the overall clarity of the proposals and identify elements that will require special attention in the evaluation. Proposals without earnest money (bid security), unsigned and incomplete (i.e. when the required bid formats have not been submitted), not responding to the ToR fully and properly and those with lesser validity than that prescribed in the RfP will be summarily rejected as being non-responsive, before taking up the appraisal of the technical proposal for evaluation of quality. CEC shall evaluate each proposal on the basis of its responsiveness to the ToR. A proposal shall be considered unsuitable and shall be rejected at this stage if it fails to comply with important aspects as described in the RfP. A technical proposal containing any material financial information shall also be rejected.
Evaluation of the Quality – Technical Proposals

6.7.1 In the second stage evaluation process CEC members shall apply the criteria and sub-criteria set forth in the Data Sheet. Each proposal should be judged on its own merits and assigned an absolute, not comparative, grade. A comparative evaluation would single out the best proposal on a relative scale, but still could leave the Procuring Entity with a poor proposal. Instead, the evaluation should measure absolute quality scored against pre-defined criteria and sub-criteria. The Procuring Entity shall evaluate each technical proposal taking into account criteria as prescribed in the RfP: (a) the consultant’s relevant experience for the assignment; (b) the quality of the methodology proposed; (c) the qualifications of the key staff proposed; and (d) capability for transfer of knowledge (if relevant). Each of the technical proposals will be evaluated for the criteria prescribed in the RfP by awarding marks so as to make the total maximum technical score of 100 (Hundred). The criteria and weightage to each criteria or sub-criteria would depend on the requirements of each case and may be fixed objectively. A model scheme of maximum/minimum marks in terms of percentage is, however, proposed in Table 2.

Table 2. A model scheme of maximum/minimum marks in terms of percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rated Criteria</th>
<th>Range of Percentage for Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Consultanty Firm’s specific experience</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Methodology</td>
<td>20-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Qualification and relevant experience of key staff</td>
<td>30-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Transfer of Knowledge*</td>
<td>0-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * If this criteria is not required, the marks can be adjusted against some other criteria. The weight given to the firm’s experience can be relatively modest, since this criteria has already been taken into account when short listing the consultant. More weight shall be given to the methodology in the case of more complex assignments (for example, multidisciplinary feasibility or management studies). Evaluation of only the key personnel is recommended. Since key personnel ultimately determine the quality of performance, more weight shall be assigned to this criteria if the proposed assignment is complex. The CEC shall review the qualifications and experience of proposed key personnel in their curricula vitae, which must be accurate, complete and signed by an authorized official of the consultant and the individual proposed. The experience criteria mentioned in point 1 in the table above holds true for Consultancy Firm and not for an individual consultant.

6.7.2 The CEC shall normally divide the above criteria mentioned in Table 2 into sub-criteria. However, the number of sub-criteria should be kept to the minimum that is considered essential. For example, methodology criteria can be sub-divided into sub-criteria as:

i) understanding of ToR (30% weightage);
ii) acceptability and detailing of methodology and work plan (50% weightage);

iii) innovation, if it is important (20% weightage).

The criteria for suitability of the key professionals for the assignment can also be divided into:

a) Educational qualifications (20% weightage);

b) Professional experience in the required area of assignment (80% weightage).

As mentioned in para 6.2.2 earlier, in LCS, a simplified evaluation criteria laying down minimum qualifying fail-pass benchmarks for each criteria/sub-criteria (instead of marking schemes) may also be used in appropriate cases. All offers that pass the qualifying benchmarks are declared as technically qualified and their financial bids are opened.

6.7.3 The CEC evaluation should be based on the proposal as submitted. Under no circumstances can the CEC request information or clarifications that may change the proposals. Issues to be clarified with the selected consultant will have to be discussed during negotiations. Individual evaluators' results are recorded on pre-established worksheets. After each member has independently rated all criteria and sub-criteria, it is a good practice to read each proposal again to ensure that scores reliably reflect the quality of the proposal.

6.7.4 Next, the CEC should conduct a joint review and discuss the merits of individual evaluations and scores. Some evaluators tend to be generous while others will be rigid in their judgment and ratings. Such disparity does not matter, provided each evaluator is consistent and differences in scores are not too large. Large differences should be reviewed and explained because they often are caused by improper or inaccurate use of the rating system. Reconciling differences that are considered too large by the CEC may result in members revising some of their ratings and scores. As such, any changes should be recorded. If a discussion is needed to reach a final decision, an independent party should prepare minutes. Finally the scores given by different members may be averaged out. During the meeting, the CEC should also comment on the strengths and weaknesses of all proposals that have met the minimum technical score indicated in the RfP. This will help identify any elements in the winning proposal that should be clarified during negotiations.

6.7.5 Eventually, for each of the technical proposals, the CEC should calculate the average of the scores allocated to each criteria by all members, establish the technical ranking of the proposals, identify the best and propose it forward. The evaluation also establishes whether a proposal passes the minimum qualifying mark (or technical score, normally 75 (Seventy five)) provided for in the RfP. If one or more proposals fail to meet the minimum qualifying mark, both individual and joint assessments must be carefully reviewed and justified. Short-listed consultants are usually discouraged when their proposals are rejected, particularly when they are only a few points below the minimum mark. Therefore, the Procuring Entity should be prepared to debrief consultants to explain the evaluation of their proposals.

6.7.6 At the end of the technical evaluation process, the CEC shall prepare a technical evaluation report of the “quality” of the proposals recording the scores given to each criteria and sub-criteria, as well as explain the decisions and take the competent authority's (CA) approval. For each proposal, the report also should substantiate the results of the evaluation and indicate technical weaknesses or deviations from the terms set out in the RfP and comment on their acceptability. This committee shall
6.7.7. Only consultants qualifying as per the technical evaluation criteria will be considered as eligible for the consultancy assignment. All the firms which meet the minimum qualifying standards/criteria so prescribed will stand technically qualified for consideration of their financial bids.

6.8 Evaluation of Cost

6.8.1 After evaluation of quality has been completed, the Procuring Entity shall notify those consultants whose proposals did not meet the minimum qualifying standard or were considered non-responsive to the RfP and/or ToR, indicating that their financial proposals will be returned unopened after completing the selection process. In case of QCBS, the Procuring Entity shall simultaneously notify the consultants that have successfully satisfied the qualifying standard or where marks have been awarded, the minimum qualifying marks and indicate the date and time set for opening the financial proposals. In such a case, the opening date shall not be later than three weeks after the notification date. The financial proposals shall be opened publicly in the presence of representatives of the technically qualified consultants who choose to attend. The Evaluation Committee demonstrably verifies that the financial proposals have remained sealed and then opens them. The name of the consultant, quality scores and proposed prices shall be read aloud and recorded when the financial proposals are opened. No modification to financial proposals is permitted. The Procuring Entity shall prepare the minutes of the public opening. Format at Annexure 5 may be used for this purpose. When electronic submission of proposals is used, this information shall be posted online.

6.8.2 For a time-based contract, any arithmetical errors shall be corrected and prices shall be adjusted if they fail to reflect all inputs that are included in the respective technical proposals. For a Lump-sum contract, the consultant is deemed to have included all prices in its/ his financial proposal so neither arithmetical correction nor any other price adjustment shall be made. For QCBS, the proposal with the lowest offered total price shall be given a financial score of 100% (One hundred per cent) and other financial proposals given scores that are inversely proportional to their prices. This methodology shall be specified in the RfP document.

6.8.3 For the purpose of comparing proposals, the costs shall be converted to Indian Rupees as stated in the RfP. The CEC shall make this conversion by using the BC (Base Currency) selling exchange rates for those currencies as per the exchange rate quoted by an official source, for example, the State Bank of India. The RfP shall specify the source of the exchange rate to be used and date of the exchange rate to be taken for comparison of costs. This date shall be the date of opening of technical bids.

6.8.4 For the purpose of evaluation, the total cost shall include all taxes and duties for which the Procuring Entity makes payments to the consultant and other reimbursable expenses, such as travel, translation, report printing, or secretarial expenses as indicated in the RfP document.
6.8.5 When using QCBS, the scores of quality and cost scores shall be weighted appropriately and added to determine the most advantageous proposal.

(Rule 190 of GFR 2017)

6.8.6 An Abnormally Low Bid is one in which the bid price, in combination with other elements of the bid, appears so low that it raises material concerns as to the capability of the bidder to perform the contract at the offered price. Procuring Entity may in such cases seek written clarifications from the bidder, including detailed price analyses of its bid price in relation to scope, schedule, allocation of risks and responsibilities and any other requirements of the bid document. If, after evaluating the price analyses, procuring entity determines that the bidder has substantially failed to demonstrate its capability to deliver the contract at the offered price, the Procuring Entity may reject the Bid/Proposal. However it would not be advisable to fix a normative percentage below the estimated cost, which would automatically be considered as an abnormally low bid. Due care should be taken while formulating the specifications at the time of preparation of bid document so as to have a safeguard against the submission of abnormally low bid from the bidder.

6.9 Selection of the Winning Consultant

Before a final award is announced, the technical and financial credentials of the selected bidders/consultant should be crosschecked to the extent feasible.

6.9.1 LCS Selection

Under the LCS procedures, the financial proposals will be ranked in terms of their total evaluated cost. The least cost proposal will be ranked as L-1 and the next higher and so on will be ranked as L-2, L-3 etc. The least cost proposal (L-1) will be considered for award of contract. The CEC will put up a report on financial evaluation of the technically qualified consultants to the competent finance authority along with the recommendation that the least cost proposal (L-1) can be approved/invited for negotiation and for final award of contract.

6.9.2 QCBSSelection(Rule192ofGFR2017)

Under QCBS selection, the technical proposals will be allotted weightage of 70% (Seventy per cent) while the financial proposals will be allotted weightages of 30% (Thirty per cent) or any other respective weightages as declared in the RfP (Example, 60:40, 50:50, but not greater than 80%). The proposed weightages for quality and cost shall be specified in the RfP. Proposal with the lowest cost may be given a financial score of 100 (Hundred) and other proposals given financial scores that are inversely proportional to their prices w.r.t. the lowest offer. Similarly, proposal with the highest technical marks (as allotted by the evaluation committee) shall be given a score of 100 (Hundred) and other proposals be given technical score that are proportional to their marks w.r.t. the highest technical marks. The total score, both technical and financial, shall be obtained by weighing the quality and cost scores and adding them up. On the basis of the combined weighted score for quality and cost, the consultant shall be ranked in terms of the total score obtained.

The proposal obtaining the highest total combined score in evaluation of quality and cost will be ranked as H-1 followed by the proposals securing lesser marks as H-2, H-3 etc. The proposal securing the highest combined marks and ranked H-1 will be invited for negotiations, if required and shall be recommended for award of contract. In the event two or more bids have the same score in final ranking, the bid with highest technical score will be H-1.
In such a case, an Evaluated Bid Score (B) will be calculated for each responsive Bid using the following formula, which permits a comprehensive assessment of the Bid price and the technical merits of each Bid:

\[
B = \frac{C_{\text{low}} \times X + \frac{T}{T_{\text{high}}}}{C} (1-X)
\]

where,

- \(C\) = Evaluated Bid Price
- \(C_{\text{low}}\) = the lowest of all Evaluated Bid Prices among responsive Bids
- \(T\) = the total Technical Score awarded to the Bid
- \(T_{\text{high}}\) = the Technical Score achieved by the Bid that was scored best among all responsive Bids
- \(X\) = weightage for the Price as specified in the BDS

The Bid with the best evaluated Bid Score (B) among responsive Bids shall be the Most Advantageous Bid.

As an example, the following procedure can be followed. In a particular case of selection of consultant, it was decided to have minimum qualifying marks for technical qualifications as 75 (Seventy five) and the weightage of the technical bids and financial bids was kept as 70: 30 (Seventy: Thirty). In response to the RfP, three proposals, A, B & C were received. The technical evaluation committee awarded the following marks as under:

A: 75 Marks
B: 80 Marks
C: 90 Marks

The minimum qualifying marks were 75 (Seventy five) thus, all the three proposals were found technically suitable. Using the formula \(T/T_{\text{high}}\), the following technical points are awarded by the evaluation committee:
A: 75/90 = 83 points
B: 80/90 = 89
points C:
90/90 = 100
points

The financial proposals of each qualified consultant were opened after notifying the date and time of bid opening to the successful participants. The price evaluation committee examined the financial proposals and evaluated the quoted prices as under:
A: Rs.120.
B: Rs.100.
C: Rs.110.

Using the formula $C_{low}/C$, the committee gave them the following points for financial proposals:
A: 100/120 = 83 points
points B: 100/100
= 100 points C:
100/110 = 91 points

In the combined evaluation, thereafter, the evaluation committee calculated the combined technical and financial score as under:
Proposal A: 83x0.30 + 83x0.70 = 83 points.
Proposal B: 100x0.30 + 89x0.70 = 92.3 points
Proposal C: 91x0.30 + 100x0.70 = 97.3 points.

The three proposals in the combined technical and financial evaluation were ranked as under:
Proposal A: 83 points: H-3
Proposal B: 92.3 points: H-2
Proposal C: 97.3 points: H-1

Proposal C at the evaluated cost of Rs.110 (Rupees One hundred and ten) was, therefore, declared as winner and recommended for negotiations/approval, to the competent authority.

6.9.3 **SSS**selection

The Single Source in case of SSS selection shall be called for further negotiation, if need be, after opening and evaluation of its financial proposals.
6.10 Negotiations and Award of Contract

6.10.1 In the Consultancy Services contract, the accepted ToR and methodology etc are laid down in form of "Description of Service". Therefore, before the contract is finally awarded, discussions may be necessary with the selected bidder to freeze these aspects, especially when, it is discouraged during evaluation of technical proposals to seek clarifications on these matters. However such technical discussions do not amount to negotiations in the sense, the word is used in Procurement of Goods and Works. However in Procurement of Consultancy, this discussion is termed as Negotiations, since these discussions may have some financial ramifications at least for the bidder. Negotiations are not an essential part of the selection process. In many cases, however, it is felt necessary to conduct negotiations with the selected consultant for discussions of the ToR, methodology, staffing, Government Department's inputs and special conditions of the contract. These discussions shall not substantially alter (or dilute) the original ToR or terms of the offer, lest the quality of the final product, its cost and the initial evaluation be vitiated. The final ToR and the agreed methodology shall be incorporated in "Description of Services," which shall form part of the contract.

6.10.2 Financial negotiations shall only be carried out if, due to negotiations, there is any change in the scope of work which has a financial bearing on the final prices or if the costs/cost elements quoted are not found to be reasonable. In such negotiations, the selected firm may also be asked to justify and demonstrate that the prices proposed in the contract are not out of line with the rates being charged by the consultant for other similar assignments. However, in no case such financial negotiation should result in an increase in the financial cost as originally quoted by the consultant and on which basis the consultant has been called for the negotiations. If the negotiations with the selected consultant fail, the Procuring Entity shall cancel the bidding procedure and re-invite the bids.

6.10.3 The name of the successful bidder along with details of costs and so on, shall be posted on the departmental website after award of work to the successful bidder has been made and communicated to him in writing.

6.11 Rejection of All Proposals and Re-invitation

The Government Department will have the right to reject all proposals. However, such rejections should be well considered and normally be in cases where all the bids are either substantially in deviation to the ToR or considered unreasonably high in cost and, if in the latter case, the lowest qualified bidder during negotiations fails to reduce the costs to a reasonable level. If it is decided to re-invite the bids, the ToR should be critically reviewed/modified so as to address the reasons of not receiving any acceptable bid in the earlier Invitation for Bids.
6.12 Confidentiality

Information relating to evaluation of proposals and recommendations concerning awards shall not be disclosed to the consultants who submitted the proposals or to other persons not officially concerned with the process, until the award of contract is notified to the successful firm, except that after technical evaluation, the overall technical score shall be informed to all consultants for each criteria or sub-criteria, if any, as required by RfP document.
SPECIAL TYPES OF ENGAGEMENTS

7.1 Single Source Selection (SSS)

7.1.1 Selection of consultants/service providers through direct negotiations does not provide the benefits of competition in regard to quality and cost, lacks transparency in selection and could encourage unacceptable practices. The reasons for SSS and selection of a particular consultant must be recorded and approved by the CA as per the delegation of powers laid down at in DFPR/SoPP, prior to single tendering. Powers of procurement of SSS must be severely restricted. Therefore, single-source selection shall be used only in exceptional circumstance, where it is inescapable over competitive selections as discussed in sub-paras below.

7.1.2 When in a Project, continuity for downstream work is essential, the initial RFP shall outline this prospect and if practical, the factors used for the selection of the consultant/service provider should take the likelihood of continuation into account. Continuity in the technical approach, experience acquired and continued professional liability of the same consultant/service provider may make continuation with the initial consultant/service provider preferable to a new competition subject to satisfactory performance in the initial assignment. For such downstream assignments, the Procuring Entity shall ask the initially selected consultant/service provider to prepare technical and financial proposals on the basis of ToR furnished by the Procuring Entity, which shall then be negotiated.

7.1.3 If the initial assignment was not awarded on a competitive basis or if the downstream assignment is substantially larger in value, a competitive process shall normally be followed in which the consultant/service provider carrying out the initial work is not excluded from consideration if it expresses interest.

7.1.4 For selecting a consultant/service provider under this method, the Procuring Entity should prepare a full justification and take the approval of the competent authority.

7.1.5 While selecting the consultant/service provider under this method, the Procuring Entity shall ensure that the consultant/service provider has the requisite qualification and experience to undertake the assignment. Normally the Procuring Entity shall adopt the same short listing criteria as applied to similar assignments while evaluating the EoI.

7.2 Selection of Individual Consultants/Service Providers

721 Individual consultants/service providers are normally employed on assignments for which

i) Teams of personnel is not required;

ii) No additional outside professional support is required and

iii) The experience and qualifications of the individual is the paramount requirement.

722 The procedures for selecting individual consultants/service providers are similar to, but much simpler than, those for selecting teams of consultants/service providers from a firm. Process of selection of individual consultants/service providers entails:

i) Preparing a Consultancy and other services package including the ToR, time frame, number of person-months, budget, EoI Short-listing criteria and getting it approved by the CA;
ii) **Advertising:** Advertisement in such case should be given as per policy of the government. An organisation having its own website should also publish all its advertised tender enquiries on the website. The advertisements for invitation of tenders should give the complete web address from where the bidding documents can be downloaded.

iii) **Method of Selection:** They shall be selected through comparison of qualifications of at least three candidates among those who have expressed interest in the assignment or have been approached directly by the Procuring Entity. Candidates who are already employed with the Procuring Entity shall meet all relevant qualifications and shall be fully capable of carrying out the assignment. Capability is judged on the basis of academic background, experience and, as appropriate, knowledge of the local conditions, such as local language, culture, administrative system and Government organisation. Selection will be carried out by the CEC which will award marks for educational qualifications and experience and select the most suitable candidate for the assignment. The CEC may also interview candidates and award marks for their performance in the interview and recommend their remuneration to be paid.

iv) **Direct Negotiation:** Individual consultants/service providers may be selected on a direct negotiation basis with due justification in exceptional cases such as: (a) tasks that are a continuation of previous work that the consultant/service provider has carried out and for which the consultant/service provider was selected competitively; (b) emergency situations resulting from natural disasters; and (c) when the individual or the only consultant/service provider qualified for the assignment. Individual consultants/service providers may be (among others) independent consultants/service providers; consultants/service providers recruited from firms; or consultants/service providers recruited from academic, Government, or other agencies.

### 7.3 Selection of Specialized Agencies/Institutions

73.1 From time to time Departments may need to recruit a specialized agency or institution to undertake a specific task for which it is particularly well suited. Such agencies may be Government Aided Organization, Universities or Professional Institutions.

73.2 In some cases, the agency or institution has access to special expertise or special backup and support facilities that make it worthwhile considering recruitment on an SSS basis. In such cases, there must be full justification that the use of SSS is in the best interests of the Procuring Entity.

73.3 In cases of Government and Government Aided Organization, SSS would be an appropriate method of recruitment.

73.4 Individual consultants/service providers recruited from agencies and institutions may be selected in the same way as any other independent consultants/service providers.

### 7.4. Selection of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO)

74.1 Non-Governmental organizations (NGOs) may be considered if they express interest and if the Procuring Entity finds their qualifications satisfactory. Short lists for assignments typically attributed to NGOs because they, for example, emphasize experience in community participation and in-depth local knowledge may comprise NGOs entirely. In this case, QCBS should be followed and the evaluation criteria of proposals should reflect the NGO-unique qualifications, such as the following:

i) History of work with grassroots communities and evidence of satisfactory performance;

ii) Familiarity with participatory development approaches and low-cost technologies;

iii) Experienced staff conversant with the cultural and socioeconomic dimensions of beneficiaries;
iv) Committed leadership and adequate management;
v) Capacity to co-opt beneficiary participation.

7.4.2 Procuring Entities may select NGOs using SSS, provided the approvals and procedures laid down for the same are followed. For example, SSS may be adopted to hire a local NGO for a very small assignment in a remote area where only one NGO is available and competition is impractical.
PROCUREMENT (OUTSOURCING) OF OTHER (NON-CONSULTANCY) SERVICES

To make this chapter self-contained and complete some of the relevant paras are repeated wherever required. Any circumstances which are not covered in this manual for Procurement of Non-consulting services, the Procuring Entity may refer to Manual for Procurement of Goods and not to the provisions for Procurement of Consulting services.

8.1 Applicability of this Chapter to Procurement (Outsourcing) of Other (Non-consultancy) Services

8.1.1 “Other services” (including the term ‘Non-consultancy services’ in certain contexts) are defined by exclusion as services that cannot be classified as Consultancy services. Other services involve routine repetitive physical or procedural non-intellectual outcomes for which quantum and performance standards can be tangibly identified and consistently applied and are bid and contracted on such basis. It may include small works, supply of goods or consultancy service, which are incidental or consequential to such services. Other services may include transport services; logistics; clearing and forwarding; courier services; upkeep and maintenance of office/buildings/estates (other than Civil & Electrical Works etc.); drilling, aerial photography, satellite imagery, mapping and similar operations etc.

8.1.2 The term ‘Outsourcing of Services’ implies deployment of outside agencies on a sustained long-term (for one year or more) for performance of other services which were traditionally being done in-house by the employees of Departments (Security Services, Horticultural Services, Janitor/Cooking/Catering/Management Services for Hostels and Guest Houses, Cleaning/Housekeeping Services, Errand/Messenger Services and so forth). There may be Human Resources and administrative issues involved in ‘outsourcing’ which are beyond the scope of this manual but nevertheless need to be addressed. Besides outsourcing, other services also include procurement of short-term stand-alone services.

8.1.3 If the other services involve construction, fabrication, repair, maintenance, overhaul, renovation, decoration, installation, erection, excavation, dredging and so on, of civil assets, then it should be handled on the lines of Procurement of Works. In case of fabrication, repair, maintenance, overhaul, renovation, decoration, installation, erection and so on, of mechanical, electrical or ICT assets – e.g. Annual Maintenance Contracts or installation/commissioning of Machinery and Plant and so on, it may be handled on the lines of Procurement of Goods.

8.1.4 Any circumstance which is not covered in this chapter for procurement of Non-consulting services, the Procuring Entity may refer to the Manual for Procurement of Goods.

8.1.5 It is possible that, depending on the nature and complexity of the assignment, a task could be dealt with either as a consultancy or Non-consultancy service. In essence, if the intellectual and advisory part of services dominates (and the physical part is incidental), the selection needs to be dealt with in Consultancy mode. For example, if the task is looking at the condition of a dam (for dam safety) by physically inspecting
a dam through underwater observation, this task is collection of data using technologies and photography, but the actual analysis is an intellectual and advisory task and is the crux of the assignment. Therefore, the entire task needs to be dealt with as selection of a consultant.

8.1.6 For sake of simplicity, this Manual for Procurement of Consultancy and Other Services is written from the point of view of Procurement of Consultancy services. This chapter now covers the Outsourcing/Procurement of Other (Non-consultancy) Services and points out areas where policies and procedures are different for such outsourcing/procurements.

8.2 Authorities competent to procure Consultancy and other services and their Purchase Powers

Authorities competent to procure (outsource) other (Non-consultancy) services and their purchase powers is covered in para 1.4 in chapter –1 as covered in DFPRT.

8.3 When is Procurement/Outsourcing of Other (Non-consultancy) Services justified

In the interest of economy, efficiency and to provide more effective delivery of public services, GFR, 2017 permits Government Departments to procure/outsource auxiliary and support services. Approval of the competent authority should be obtained before engaging service providers. We may justify need for procurement of other services on consideration of:

i) Economy, speed and efficiency and more effective delivery of public services relating to additional requirement/commitment/usage of,
   a) Staff/Management/Organization;
   b) Technological and Material Resources;
   c) Money and
   d) Time/Speed of execution.

ii) An administrative policy decided by the Government/Department to outsource specific (class of) services.

8.4 Principles for Public Procurement of Other (Non-Consultancy) Services

Other principles of public procurement as mentioned in para 1.6 in chapter –1, are also equally applicable to procurement of other services. Additional principles of procurement needed to ensure value for money in procurement of other services are to ensure:

i) Services to be procured should be justifiable in accordance with para 1.10 in chapter –1;

ii) In other (Non-consultancy) services an Activity Schedule (a document covering well-defined scope of work/description of services and the time frame for which services are to be availed of) should be consistent with the overall objectives of Procuring Entity;

iii) Equal opportunity to all qualified service providers to compete should be ensured;

iv) Engagements should be economical and efficient; and

v) Transparency and integrity in the selection process (that is, proposed, awarded, administered and executed according to highest ethical standards).
8.5. Types of Contracts in Other (Non-consultancy) Services

Procurement(outsourcing of other (Non-consulting) services depending on the nature of services can be either Lump-sum contracts, Time-based contracts, or unit (item/service) rate based contract (as in case of Goods and Works - say Taxi Service on Km basis). Or it can be a mix of these. For occasionally but continually needed services, indefinite delivery contracts, based on time or unit (item/service) rates may be appropriate. As far as, procurement of services through Public Private Partnership (PPP) is concerned, the same shall be dealt in the Manual for Procurement of Works.

8.6. System of Selection in Other (Non-consultancy) Services

8.6.1. Unlike Procurement of Consultancy services, procurement of other services is done by a simpler process akin to those of procurement of Goods and Works. (Rule 206 of GFR 2017). It is normally done in a Single Stage (RfP) process containing technical and financial bids. however in highly technical and complex services, where quality is important (say in studies like seismic surveys, airborne data acquisition etc) a pre-qualification (PQ) process may be done on the lines of Procurement of Goods and Works.

8.6.2. In procurements above Rs 25 (Rupees Twenty) lakh, it should normally be an advertised RfP. For procurement below Rs 25 (Rupees Twenty) lakh, RfP can be issued to a selected shortlist of likely service providers. To start with preparation of a long list of potential service providers may be done on the basis of formal or informal enquiries from other Departments or Organisations involved in similar activities, Chambers of Industry & Commerce, Association of consultancy firms etc. The Procuring Entity should scrutinise the preliminary long list of likely service providers as identified above and shortlist the prima facie eligible and capable service providers from the long list. The number of service providers in this moderated long-list should be more than three. To smoothen this shortlisting of service providers for projects below Rs 10 (Rupees Ten) lakh, Procuring entities who do frequent procurement of Non-consultancy services, may consider preparation of a panel of qualified service providers, after evaluation of their credentials.

8.6.3. In procurement of other (non-consultancy) services normally system of selection used is lowest price (L-1) basis among the technically responsive offers, as in procurement of Goods/ works. Under very special circumstances Single Source Selection may also be used. (Rule 204 of GFR 2017)
8.7. One Stop Government e-Marketplace (GeM) by DGS&D

8.7.1. An online marketplace (or e-commerce marketplace) is a type of e-commerce site where products or services are offered by a number of sellers and all the buyers can select the product/services offered by any one of the seller, based on his own criteria. In an online marketplace, purchaser’s transactions are processed by the marketplace operator and then product/services are delivered and fulfilled directly by the participating retailers. Other capabilities might include auctioning (forward or reverse), catalogues, ordering, posting of requirements by purchasers, payment gateways etc. In general, because online marketplaces aggregate products from a wide array of providers, selection is usually wider, availability is higher and prices are more competitive than in vendor-specific online retail stores.

8.7.2. DGS&D have developed an online Government e-Marketplace for common use goods and services. The procurement process on GeM is end to end from placement of supply order to payment to suppliers. This is to ensure better transparency and higher efficiency. All the process will be electronic and online.

8.7.3. Products and services are listed on GeM by various suppliers as on other e-commerce portals. The registration of suppliers on GeM is online and automatic based on PAN, MCA-21, Aadhar authentication etc. The suppliers will offer their products on GeM and the Government buyer will be able to view all the products as well as compare them. Tools of reverse bidding and e-auction are also available which can be utilised for the procurement of bulk quantities.

NOTE: THE DETAILED GUIDELINES OF DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, GOVT. OF TRIPURA ON GeM WILL BE IN FORCE FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT

8.8. Procurement Proposal (Concept Paper) for Other (Non-consultancy) Services

The steps for initiating procurement (outsourcing) of other (Non-consultancy) services, is similar to that described in para 1.15 in chapter –1. (Please refer to Annexure 3, for a suggested format)

8.9. Obtaining Final Administrative and Budgetary Approvals

Before a final administrative and budgetary approval is taken, a detailed Activity Schedule and cost estimate is required to be prepared in following manner:

8.9.1. Activity Schedule and Other Requirements

The objectives of the Activity Schedule are:

i) To provide sufficient information on the quantities of services to be performed to enable bids to be prepared efficiently and accurately; and

ii) When a contract has been entered into, to provide a priced Activity Schedule for use in the periodic valuation of services executed.

Besides detailing the activities, quantum and time frame, Activity Schedule should contain the following sections also:
a) **Description of Services:** A brief description of service required is important information that would help the bidders understand the service requirement. It should cover background about the Procuring Entity’s organisation and about the project/service. The Purpose and Service Outcome statement should be included in the description of services (as finalised for initiating the procurement, Para 1.15, chapter-1) to help the service providers understand the requirement;

b) **Itemized Activity Schedule:** In order to attain objectives of the Activity Schedule, services should be itemized in the Activity Schedule in sufficient detail to distinguish between the different classes of services, or between services of the same nature carried out in different locations or in other circumstances which may give rise to different considerations of cost. Consistent with these requirements, the layout and content of the Activity Schedule should be as simple and brief as possible. All information relevant for the bidder to quote a price may be included e.g. the frequency and quantum and time-frame/duration of completion of activities to be performed. In activity schedule containing scores of items, evaluation can be simplified if the system used in Works contracts is borrowed, if feasible, where Schedule of rates (SoR) for each activity is specified in the bid documents by the Procuring Entity and only per centage +/- above the SoR (separately for different schedules or combined) is asked to be quoted by the bidders;

c) **Labour/Personnel Activity Schedule:** If labour/personnel are used in the activity, these may be quantified specifying place, shifts and frequency of utilization in the Activity Schedule. In case any key professionals or Project Manager is required, their qualification and experience required may also be mentioned. Any relievers and leave reserve for deploying the personnel should be included in the rate for such personnel and would not be separately payable;

d) **Material Schedule:** In case any materials/consumables/tools of trade are to be consumed/deployed, a separate materials schedule should be included, indicating the specification and quantity of such materials/consumables/tools to be consumed/deployed per unit activity/day/location/per manpower deployed. Price of all these materials/tools etc is to be shown as a separate Lump-sum cost in the financial bid by the bidder;

e) **Essential Equipment Schedule:** Any essential equipment, machinery (Trucks, Cranes, Washing Machines, Vessels/crafts, plant and machinery etc) that the service provider must have and should deploy as a qualifying requirement must be mentioned along with specification, capacity, age of equipment etc. It should be ensured that operators for such equipment must be mentioned as key personnel;

f) **Performance Specifications, Drawings:** The performance specification or drawings if necessary should be specified for each activity, materials, tools and machines to be used in the activity. Any reporting requirement, periodic meetings or other submissions must be part of the Activity Schedule;

g) **Statutory and contractual obligations to be complied with by the contractor:** Service provider mostly works within the premises of Procuring Entity, along with staff of Procuring Entity. Many services are subject to various statutory provisions relating to Labour, Taxation, Workmen Safety, Child and Women Labour, Private Security Agencies, Environmental Protection, Mining, Forest clearance, Employment reservations etc. The bidder must have Service Tax Number, ESI, EPF Registration Certificate, Registration Declaration of ownership under Indian Registration Act 1908 and Labour License and PAN (Income Tax). Moreover the Procuring Entity himself may
have its own regulation about safety, security, confidentiality etc. All such statutory and contractual obligations must be listed, so that price implications and compliance is taken care of by the bidder. In case of security services contracts, the bidder must have the valid license to run the business of Private Security Agency in the state issued by the appropriate authority for operating Security Services;

h) **Facilities and Utilities to be provided by the Procuring Entity to service provider at Site:**
It should be mentioned, if any facility/utility (Operation Manuals, Emergency Medical, Room, Furniture, Electricity connection, Water connection) etc would be made available to the successful bidder to carry out the service. In case it is proposed to charge the Electricity/Water supplied to the service provider, the same may be mentioned, including the rate of charges. This aspect has a great bearing on the cost that will be quoted by the bidders. This can have implications in vitiating the selection process either way – a facility to be provided may not get declared or a declared facility may not be provided ultimately. So great care and reality check is necessary, while preparing this statement. Specially mention facilities and utilities which will not be provided, or the facilities which would be provided on chargeable basis. It should be clearly mentioned that the service provider will not be allowed to use any of Procuring Entity’s facility/area which are not listed in this section;

i) **Institutional Arrangements and Procedure for Review of Work of consultant after the Award of Contract:** Institutional arrangements like the placement in a Department, name of Project Manager and chain of command for reporting may be specified. Process of review of service outcomes and deployment of personnel and resources should be clearly brought out.

A template for Activity and other Schedules is given at Annexure 7.

### 8.9.2. Estimating Costs, Setting the Budget and Seeking Approval.

Preparation of a well thought through cost estimate is essential if realistic budgetary resources are to be earmarked. The cost estimate shall be based on the Procuring Entity’s assessment of the resources needed to carry out the assignment, managerial and staff time and physical inputs (for example, materials, consumables, tools and machines). Costs shall be divided into three broad categories:

i) Remunerations for Personnel deployed;

ii) Reimbursable (Travel, logistics, Consumable, Material, Tools, Hiring of third party services etc.);

iii) Administrative and Miscellaneous (Mobilisation, Demobilisation, Temporary Structures, Administrative expenses, Office and IT Equipment, Contingencies, Financing costs, Costs for hiring/depreciation/financing of machinery and equipment etc).

In general, staff remuneration rates include basic salary, social charges, overheads, fees or profit and allowances. Profit element, taxes and duties should be added to the estimated costs.
8.9.3. Final Administrative and Budgetary Approvals

The Activity Schedule shall be compatible with the available budget. The most important step is to determine whether all tasks required to achieve the desired output have been included. The next step is to determine whether adequate budget has been allocated to implement the assignment as designed. Since the budget may be fixed or limited, a series of iterations may be required before a final, acceptable Activity Schedule is formulated. CA’s approval may be taken for the procurement before proceeding ahead. After administrative approval, provision may be made in the budget or if that is not feasible, additional confirmation at the time of seeking administrative approval may be taken from the CA for inclusion in the revised estimate stage of budget. Indent may be initiated after such budgetary provisions/confirmations. Procurement should be initiated only after such approvals and budgetary provisions.

8.10. Procedure for Single Source Selection (SSS)

Should it become necessary, in an exceptional situation to outsource a job to a specifically chosen contractor, the CA’s approval may be taken. In such cases the detailed justification, the circumstances leading to the outsourcing by choice and the special interest or purpose it shall serve shall form an integral part of the proposal. Threshold Limits for use of SSS method of selection may be prescribed in SoPP.

8.11. Procedure for Small Value and Emergency Procurements of Other (Non-consultancy) Services

In many small value procurement of other services, the service provider may neither be capable of handling the bidding process, nor would this be a cost-effective process for theProcuring Entity. For procurement upto Rs 25,000 (Twenty five thousands), the ‘Direct Procurement without Quotation’ mode of procurement used in Procurement of Goods may very well be utilised in such cases. Similarly for procurement of services upto Rs 2.5 (Rupees Two and a half) lakh, ‘Direct Procurement by a Purchase Committee’ mode as used in Procurement of Goods may be utilised. In all such modes of procurement, the procedure prescribed in the Manual for Procurement of Goods may be followed. Please refer to Annexure 8 & 9 for certificates to be recorded for such procurements.

8.12. Procedure for Procurements below Rs.10 (Rupees Ten) lakh

For procurements below Rs. 10 (Rupees Ten) lakh, the user should prepare a list of likely and potential service providers on the basis of formal or informal enquiries from Ministries or Departments and Organisations involved in similar activities, scrutiny of ‘Yellow pages’ and trade journals, if available, web site etc. The Procuring Entity should scrutinise the preliminary list of likely contractors as identified above, shortlist the prima facie eligible and capable contractors and issue RfP to these shortlisted firms on a limited tender enquiry basis as per standard practice. The number of the contractors so identified for issuing RfP should be more than three. Services which are available on GeM have to be mandatorily procured through that portal (please refer to 9.7)
8.13 Procedure for Higher Value of Procurements

For Procurements above Rs 10 (Rupees Ten) lakh, the Procuring Entity should issue advertised single stage tender enquiry asking for the offers by a specified date and time etc. Advertisement in such case should be given on Central Public Procurement Portal (CPPP) at www.eprocure.gov.in, Government e-Market (GeM) and on State Portal at www.tripuratenders.gov.in An organisation having its own website should also publish all its advertised tender enquiries on the website. The advertisements for invitation of tenders should give the complete web address from where the bidding documents can be downloaded. Attention of known reputed service providers (as ascertained as per para above) may also be separately drawn wherever possible. Services which are available on GeM have to be mandatorily procured through that portal (please refer to para 9.7 above).

8.14 Preparation of the Request for Proposals (RfP)

In procurement of other (Non-consultancy) services, a standard RfP document should be basis for preparation of bid documents. There are variations in the way information and sections in standard RFPs are formulated but essential information/sections are as follows:

i) A letter of invitation (LoI);
ii) Instructions to Bidders (ITB) and data sheet (which contains assignment specific information);
iii) Qualification/Eligibility Criteria for service providers;
iv) Activity Schedules and other Requirements:
   a) Description of Service,
   b) Activity Schedule,
   c) Manpower Schedule: Assessment of Manpower for Deployment,
   d) Materials Schedule (indicating the specification and quantity of such materials/consumables/tools to be consumed/deployed per unit activity/day/location/per manpower deployed),
   e) Essential Equipment: Any essential equipment, machinery that the service provider must have as a qualifying requirement along with specification, capacity, age of equipment etc.,
   f) The statutory and contractual obligations to be complied with by the contractor,
   g) Services & Facilities to be provided by the Procuring Entity and respective obligations of the Procuring Entity and service provider.

v) General Conditions of Contract (GCC);
vi) Special Conditions of Contract (SCC);

vii) Formats:
   a) Service provider’s Bid Cover Letter,
   b) Qualification Information,
   c) Standard formats for the technical proposal,
d) Standard Format for the Financial Proposal,
e) Letter of Acceptance,
f) Contract Form,
g) Securities Formats:
   1) Bid Security (Bank Guarantee),
   2) Bank Guarantee for Advance
   3) Payment,
   4) Performance Security (Bank Guarantee).

8.15. Important Provisions of ITB

8.15.1. Eligibility Criteria: Eligibility for firms to be considered as responsive bid in procurement of other (Non-consultancy) services should be specified. For example:

i) The bidder must be registered under appropriate authorities i.e. must be registered with Service tax authorities/Income tax/EPF/ESI authorities/PSARA/PAN etc;

ii) Joint Ventures (JV) are normally not permitted in the procurement of other (Non-consulting) services;

iii) Must not have been under any declaration of ineligibility by any authority. A declaration to the effect should be furnished;

iv) A consistent history of litigation or arbitration awards against the applicant may result in disqualification;

v) Each bidder shall submit only one bid for one RfP. The system shall consider only the last bid submitted through the e-Procurement portal. In case of packaging/slicing of services, it should be clarified, how multiple bids and discounts by a bidder in different slices would be considered.

8.15.2. Qualifying criteria to be met by bidders to qualify for award of the contract may be specified. Although the qualification criteria would depend on the type of service, its complexity and volume, but a sample qualifying criteria is given below:

i) Financial Capability:

a) Average Annual financial turnover of related services during the last three years, ending 31st March of the previous financial year, should be at least 30% (Thirty per cent) of the estimated cost.

b) Liquid assets and/or credit facilities, net of other contractual commitments and exclusive of any advance payments which may be made under the contract, of no less than the amount specified in the BDS.
ii) **Past Experience:**

The bidder must have at least three years experience (ending month of March prior to the bid opening) of providing similar type of services to Central/State Government/PSUs/Nationalised Banks/Reputed Organisations. Services rendered with list of such Central/State/PSUs/Nationalized Banks/Reputed Organisations with duration of service shall be furnished.

b) The bidder must have successfully executed/completed similar services (definition of “similar services” should be clearly defined), over the last three years i.e. the current financial year and the last three financial years:

1) Three similar completed services costing not less than the amount equal to 40% (Forty per cent) of the estimated cost; or

2) Two similar completed services costing not less than the amount equal to 50% (Fifty per cent) of the estimated cost; or

3) One similar completed service costing not less than the amount equal to 80% (Eighty per cent) of the estimated cost.

iii) **Equipment and Managerial Capability:**

   a) Ownership/proposals for the timely acquisition (own, lease, hire, etc.) of the essentially equipment listed in the BDS;

   b) A Contract Manager with five years experience in services of an equivalent nature and volume, including no less than three years as Manager.

8.15.3. **Qualification Documents to be submitted:** To judge their qualification, all bidders should be asked to include the following information and documents with their bids:

i) Copies of original registration certificate documents defining the constitution or legal status, place of registration and principal place of business; written power of attorney of the signatory of the bid to commit the bidder. Appropriate business licences/registrations:

   a) Service Tax registration certificate;

   b) PAN number;

   c) Copies of EPF, ESI, Labour license;

   d) Copy of valid license under the Private Security Agencies (Regulation) Act, 2005 or the similar Act/Rules promulgated by State in which the service is performed (in case of Security Service).

ii) Total monetary value of services performed for each of the last five years;

iii) Copies of work orders and experience in services of a similar nature and size for each of the last three years and details of services under way or contractually committed; and names and address of clients who may be contacted for further information on those contracts;

iv) Evidence of adequacy of working capital for this contract (access to line(s) of credit and availability of other financial resources);

v) Audited financial statements for the last three years (copies of the Profit and Loss (P/L) statements along with Balance Sheet for the concerned period);

vi) Bank Account details;

vii) Authority to seek references from the bidder’s bankers;
viii) Information regarding any litigation, current or during the last five years, in which the Bidder is involved, the parties concerned and disputed amount; and

ix) Proposals for subcontracting components of the services amounting to more than 10 (Ten) per cent of the contract price.

8.15.4. **Site Visit:** The bidder, at the bidder’s own responsibility and risk, may be encouraged to visit at their own cost the site of required services and its surroundings and obtain all information that may be necessary for preparing the bid and entering into a contract for the services.

8.15.5. **Restrictions regarding Personnel Deployed:** The quoted rates shall not be less than the minimum wage fixed/ notified by the State Government– where the service is performed and shall include all statutory obligations. However bids without any element of cost over and above such minimum wage (or below it) shall be treated as ‘Nil’ price quotation and would be rejected. The service provider shall be liable for all kinds of dues payable in respect of all personnel provided under the contract and the Procuring Entity shall not be liable for any dues for availing the services of the personnel. The service provider should ensure that persons to be deployed are not alcoholic, drug addict and not indulge in any activity prejudicial to the interest of the Procuring Entity. The service provider shall ensure to get the Police verification for all the manpower deployed by them and the contractor should ensure that the manpower deputed should bear good moral character.

8.15.6. **Workmen Safety and Insurance:** The service provider shall alone be fully responsible for safety and security and insurance or life insurance of their personnel who is working on the operation and maintenance works. The service providers (a) shall take out and maintain and shall cause any Subcontractors to take out and maintain, at their (or the Subcontractors’, as the case may be) own cost but on terms and conditions approved by the Procuring Entity, insurance against the risks and for the coverage, as shall be specified in the SCC; and (b) at the Procuring Entity’s request, shall provide evidence to the Procuring Entity showing that such insurance has been taken out and maintained and that the current premiums have been paid. The service provider shall provide and ensure sufficient protection gears like safety shoes, hand gloves, ladders, etc. are being used by their workers while carrying out works. The Procuring Entity shall not be liable for any compensation in case of any fatal injury/ death caused to or by any man power while performing/discharging their duties/for inspection or otherwise.

8.15.7. **Liquidated Damages for Delay in Performance:** The service provider shall pay liquidated damages to the Procuring Entity at the rate per day stated in the SCC for each day that the completion date is later than the intended completion date. The total amount of liquidated damages shall not exceed the amount defined in the SCC. The Procuring Entity may deduct liquidated damages from payments due to the service provider. Payment of liquidated damages shall not affect the service provider’s liabilities.
8.15.8. **Penalty for Non-performance**: If the service provider has not corrected a defect within the time specified in the Procuring Entity’s notice, a penalty for lack of performance will be paid by the service provider. The amount to be paid will be calculated as a per centage of the cost of having the defect corrected, assessed as described in SCC.

8.15.9. **Filling up the Financial Bid by the Bidders**: The Bidder should be asked to fill in rates and prices for all items of the services described in the Activity Schedule. Items for which no rate or price is entered by the bidder will not be paid for by the Procuring Entity when executed and shall be deemed covered by the other rates and prices in the Activity Schedule. The priced Activity Schedule contains sections on Remuneration for Staff deployed, Reimbursable Expenses and Miscellaneous Expenses. All duties, taxes and other levies payable by the service provider under the contract, or for any other cause, as in the month prior to the month of the deadline for submission of bids, should be included in the total bid price submitted by the bidder. For the purpose of determining the remuneration due for additional services, a breakdown of the Lump-sum price shall be provided by the bidder. Bidding documents should include a clause that “if a firm quotes NIL charges/consideration, the bid shall be treated as unresponsive and will not be considered”.

8.16. **Standard Formats for Technical and Financial Proposals**

i) The standard formats for technical proposals should include:
   a) Service provider’s Bid Cover Letter (including eligibility, following Code of Integrity in Public Procurement - CIPP);
   b) Power of attorney;
   c) Qualification Information with enclosures;
   d) Write up on Bidder’s Organisation, confirmation of compliance with (or deviations from) Description of Services, Activity Schedule, Essential Equipment Schedule, Manpower/Team, Statutory Obligation and Facilities to be provided by the Procuring Entity, Statutory and Contractual requirements, Respective obligations of Procuring Entity and service provider, Contract For, GCC and SCC; etc.
   e) Enclosures: Cost of Bid/Bid Processing Fee/Bid Security.

ii) The standard formats for a financial proposal include:
   a) Financial Bid Format;
   b) Summary Price Schedule;
   c) Priced Activity Schedule;
   d) Priced Material Schedule;
   e) Priced Miscellaneous Schedule (including administrative costs, Essential Equipment, Operating Manpower);
   f) Breakdown of Contract Prices.
8.17. Receipt of Bids, Evaluation and Award of Contract

8.17.1. Receipt and opening of bids is done in a manner similar to procurement of other categories. Annexure 5 may be used mutatis-mutandis for format of Bid Opening Attendance cum Report.

8.17.2. The Procuring Entity should evaluate, segregate, rank the responsive bids and select the successful bidder for placement of the contract, in a manner similar to Procurement of Goods/ Works. Procuring Entity will award the contract to the bidder whose bid has been determined as the lowest evaluated bid price, provided the offer is determined in accordance with the bid documents to be:

i) Substantially responsive;
ii) Eligible bidder;
iii) Meets the minimum Technical/qualification standards.

8.17.3. If, the contract is being let on a “slice and package” basis, the lowest evaluated bid price will be determined when evaluating the contract in conjunction with other contracts to be awarded concurrently, taking into account any discounts offered by the bidders for the award of more than one contract.

8.17.4. The Procuring Entity reserves the right to accept or reject any bid and to cancel the bidding process and reject all bids, at any time prior to the award of contract, without thereby incurring any liability to the affected bidder or bidders or any obligation to inform the affected bidder or bidders for the Procuring Entity’s action.

8.17.5 Notification of Award, Performance Security and Signing of the Contract also follows same procedure as in other categories of procurements.

A format of Tender Evaluation and Report is given in Annexure 10.

(Rule 203 of GFR 2017)

8.18 Service Level Agreement (SLA)

A Service Level Agreement (SLA) is an agreement designed to create a common understanding about services, priorities and responsibilities, improve communications, manage expectations, clarify responsibilities and build the foundation for a win-win relationship. It must be specified in the bidding document and finalised before the services are started. The objectives of SLA are:

i) Identify and define the Procuring Entity’s needs;
ii) Eliminate unrealistic expectations on either side;
iii) Provide a framework for understanding between the service provider and the Procuring Entity;
iv) Reduce areas of conflict and encourage dialog in the event of disputes.

While drafting the SLAs, care should be taken that they are balanced to both the contracting parties and penalties are proposed on both the sides.

SLA has two sets of elements:

a) **Service elements**
   1) the services to be provided (and perhaps certain services not to be provided, if Procuring Entity might erroneously assume the availability of such services);
   2) conditions of service availability;
   3) service standards, such as the timeframes within which services will be provided;
   4) the responsibilities of both parties;
   5) escalation procedures in case of performance deficiencies.

b) **Management elements**
   1) how service effectiveness will be tracked;
   2) how information about service effectiveness will be reported and addressed;
   3) how service-related disagreements will be resolved;
   5) how the parties will review and revise the SLA-conditions warranting change; change frequency and change procedures.

**8.19 Monitoring the Contract**

Before commencement of the services, the service provider shall submit to the procuring entity for approval a program showing the general methods, arrangements, order and timing for all activities. The services shall be carried out in accordance with the approved program as updated. CA should nominate an officer/committee to be involved throughout in the conduct of the contract and to continuously monitor the performance of the contractor. The process is described in Chapter-8, which is broadly applicable to both Consultancy and Non-consultancy services.

(Rule 205 of GFR 2017)
ANNEXURE

**NOTE:**

*The original Annexures will be modified appropriately to suit the requirements of the Departments of Govt. of Tripura*
Annexure 1: Procurement Guidelines

(Refer Para 1.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hierarchy Level</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I – Statutory Framework</td>
<td>The Constitution of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indian Contract Act, 1872; Sale of Goods Act, 1930 and Mercantile Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laws relevant to Public Procurement (Right To Information Act, 2005; The Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006; Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delegation of Financial Power Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any other financial, vigilance, security, safety, counter-trade and other regulatory aspects; orders and guidelines of the Government on the subject of Public Procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III – Ministry of Finance's Manuals</td>
<td>Ministry of <strong>Finance's</strong> Manual for Procurement of Goods, Works and Consultancy Services &amp; other Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV – Procuring Entities’ Codes/ Manuals and Standard Bidding Documents</td>
<td>More Comprehensive and detailed Codes and Manuals for Public Procurement for various categories issued by <strong>Procuring Entities</strong> for their own use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard Bidding Documents for Procurement of Goods/Works/Consultancy Services etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: The documents at Hierarchy Levels I and II above are of fundamental and generic nature. Documents at lower levels of hierarchy must conform to the Documents higher up in hierarchy. Relationships of Bidders/Suppliers/contractors/service providers with procuring entities are solely governed by the law of the land and the relevant bid/contract/registration document(s). Other documents at hierarchy levels II and III mentioned above shall have no locus standi in such relationships.
Annexure 2A: Delegation of Financial Powers—Indents, Contracts and Purchases for Public Service
(Excerpts from DFPR, Refer Para 1.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DFPR Rule 21 of the Delegation of Financial Power Rules**</th>
<th>DFPR Rule 21 (a) Minister in Charge of the Department</th>
<th>DFPR Rule 21 (b) Secretary of the Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For open or limited tender contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rs. 20 crore@@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For single tender including resultant single offer or proprietary contracts</td>
<td>Full Powers</td>
<td>Rs. 5 crore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For agreements or contracts for technical collaboration and consultancy services</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rs. 2 crore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** DoE, Ministry of Finance No.F.1(17)-E.II(A)86-No.F.1(15)-E.II(A)88 Dated: 16th September, 2003
@@ Secretary, D/o Commerce would have powers to approve rate contracts of DGS&D of value upto Rs. 100 crore in each case (DoE, Ministry of Finance No.1 (5)/E.II(A)/2009 Dated: the 24th December, 2009)

Notwithstanding anything as above, in cases where the award of contract or purchase or consultancy is inseparably linked with the project or scheme and forms a part of the proposals for Standing Finance Committee (SFC) or Committee on Non-Plan Expenditure (CNE) or Expenditure Finance Committee (EFC) or Cabinet, the same will be processed as per the financial limits laid down for sanction of such schemes or projects by the Competent Authority.

Explanation- In this rule, the word “contract” includes miscellaneous contracts, such as handling contracts and leases. Leases for hiring accommodation for office, residential and other purposes shall, however, be regulated under item 16 of the Annexure to Schedule V. If a contract extends over a period of time, the total value over the entire period of currency shall be taken for the purpose of applying the limit. Further a limited or open tender which results in only one effective offer shall also be treated as a single tender contract.”
Annexure 2B: Suggested Structure of Schedule of Procurement Powers (SoPP)
(Refer Para 1.4)

A suggested structure of SoPP\(^8\) is given below. However individual threshold values (wherever not given in GFR/DFPR) would depend on the respective circumstances of various organisations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of Powers - Level 1 is entry level and Level 5 is highest e.g. Secretary</th>
<th>Threshold Value in Rupees (lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement Proposal initiation, approvals and Signing: Including formulation of ToR/Activity Schedules and Cost Estimates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Principle Approval, initiation and approval of Procurement Proposals for Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiation, Approval of Terms of ToR/Activity Schedules and Cost Estimates for Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Administrative, Budgetary Approval for Starting Procurement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval for Floating of Tenders of Various Types including</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval Selection of System of Selection of consultants - other than LCS - QCBS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval for Selection by nomination of Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation and Approval of Bidding Documents and floating of Tenders – EoI/RfP for services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval of Retendering of a discharged tender after second attempt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competent Authority (CA) for Evaluation and Acceptance of Tenders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other services - Procurement without calling Quotation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other services - Procurement Through a Purchase Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Approval of Tenders Without Tender committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^8\) indicate value threshold above which consultations with/concurrence/vetting from IFD would be required.
Tender Committee/CEC Composition (including Member Secretary thereof) as well as designated level of CA for Acceptance of TC/CEC Recommendations for Various Slabs of Estimated Tender Value – EoI/RfP for Services

Slab 1 (Rs 10 lakh to 30 lakh) – Level 2 officers* TC, Acceptance by Level 3 Officer

Slab 2 (Rs 30 lakh to 2 crore) – Level 3 Officers* TC acceptance by Level 4 Officer

Slab 3 (Rs 2 crore to 25 crore) – Level 4 officers* TC acceptance by Level 5 Officer

Higher levels and other type of TC to suit local requirements, Acceptance at Sec level

Formulation and Placement of Contracts

Contracts after following Tendering Process

Acceptance of Special Conditions with concurrence of Finance before Award of Contract as per recommendation of TC/CA

Acceptance of Advance Payments

Other Variations demanded by Bidders in special circumstances.

Post Contract Powers, including Bill Passing and Payments, Handing over assets/equipments/material/utilities to Contractor; Extensions with or without LD, or approvals of Variations, Contract Closure, Terminations, Arbitrator appointment, Accepting and sanctioning Court and Arbitration award

Waiver of Liquidated Damages

Allowing release of Time-barred claims

Enlistment and Debarment of consultants/service providers

Initiation and Approval of Enlistment of service providers

Initiation and Approval of Removal from Enlistment of service providers due to misdemeanours

Initiation and Approval of Holiday Listing/Suspension of service providers due to misdemeanours

Initiation and Approval of Banning of service providers within the Ministry or recommendation to Ministry of Commerce for Country-wide
Annexure 3: Format of Procurement Proposal

Procurement Proposal (Concept Paper) for Procurement of Consultancy/other services (Refer Para 1.15 and 9.8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category of Assignment</td>
<td>Consultancy Services/other services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name of Officer/Office proposing the Assignment

Brief Description of Consultancy/other services Proposed:

Proposed Period of Engagement:

Place and Nodal Officer for execution

Total Estimated Cost:

Estimate Name/number:

Allocation No | Allocation Code No

Purpose/Objective Statement of Services

i) Description of service:

ii) Background of the Organisation and the Project:

ii) Purpose/Objectives of the Assignment: (Highlight how the proposed procurement of services would fit in with short-term and strategic goals of Procuring Entity)

Service Outcome Statement

i) Outcomes expected from the Procurement of Services:

   a) Broad List of Activities/Steps involved in achieving objectives:

   b) Expected Time-frame of assignment/Duration of Engagement:

   c) Rough estimate of cost of Procurement of services: (including related costs to be incurred by the organization)

Justification for the procurement of Services

i) Capabilities required for carrying out the assignments:
a) Rough assessment of available in-house capabilities as compared to required capabilities:

b) The eligibility and pre-qualification criteria to be met by the consultants/service providers:

c) Precedences and similar assignments carried out earlier in our organisation/similar organisations

d) Justification: Based on assessment of required and in-house capabilities;

In case of Consultancy Services

It is certified that, the hiring of consultants is justified for following reasons (Tick points applicable). Please also add a narrative justification:

i) Inadequacy of Capability or Capacity of required expertise in-house; or

ii) There is internal capacity/capability to do the job but there are consideration of economy, Speed and efficiency in relation to additional requirement/commitment/usage of;
   a. Staff/Management/Organization;
   b. Technological and Material Resources;
   c. Money and
   d. Time/Speed of execution; and

i) Also tick one or more of following:
   a) The need to have qualified consultant for providing a specialized high quality service; or/and
   b) Need for impartial advice from a consultant (acting independently from any affiliation, economic or otherwise) to avoid conflicts of interest;
   c) The need for Transfer of Knowledge/Training/Capacity and capability building as a by-product of such engagement
   d) Need to acquire information about/Identifying and implementing new methods and systems
   e) Need for planning and implementing organizational change

In case of Other (Non-consultancy) Services

It is certified that, the procurement (outsourcing) of these services is justified for following reasons (Tick one main point below). Please also add a narrative justification:

1) An administrative policy decided by the Ministry/Department to outsource specific (class of) services; or
ii) Economy, Speed and efficiency and more effective delivery of public services relating to additional requirement/commitment/usage of (tick one or more below):
   a) Staff/Management/Organization;
   b) Technological and Material Resources;
   c) Money and
   d) Time/Speed of execution.

2) ..........................................................................................................................

**In principle approval**

In principle approval may kindly be accorded, for further processing. Final administrative and budgetary approvals would be taken after development of Terms of Reference/Activity Schedule and detailed estimates.

Proposing Officer

Signatures/Name/Designation/Department

Comments and Instructions:

Approving Officer

Signatures/Name/Designation/Department
Annexure 4: Terms of Reference (ToR) Format
(Refer Para 4.1)

1. Description of Assignment
2. Procuring Entity’s Organisation Background
3. Assignment Background
4. Statement of Purpose/Objectives
5. Statement of Assignments Outcomes
6. Detailed Scope of Work and Time-lines
   a. Tasks, Activities, dependencies, bar chart and Gantt Chart, Milestones
   b. Place of Assignment and Touring Requirements if any
   c. Length and Duration of assignments
7. Team Composition and Qualification Requirements for the Key Experts (and any other requirements which will be used for evaluating the key experts under the Bid data sheet)
8. Capacity Building, Training and Transfer of Knowledge, if any
9. Deliverables, Reporting Requirements and Time Schedule for Deliverables [If no reports are to be submitted, state here “Not applicable.”]
   a. Format, frequency and contents of reports; dates of submission
   b. Number of copies and requirements for electronic submission (or on computer media)
   c. Persons (indicate names, titles, submission address) to receive them;
10. Background material, Data, reports, records of previous surveys and so on, to be provided to the consultant (Mention a caveat about reliability of material provided and need for the consultant to verify and crosscheck vital aspects)
11. Facilities such as local conveyance, office space, office machines, secretarial assistance, utilities, local services, etc., which would be provided to the consultant by the Procuring Entity (Specifically mention, what facility/utilities would not be provided and also, charges if any for facilities offered)
12. Institutional and organisational arrangement
   a. Counterpart Project Manager and Team
   b. Consultancy Management Committee
   c. Chain of Command for reporting
13. Procedure for review of the work of consultant after award of contract
Annexure 5

Annexure 5: Bid Opening Attendance Sheet cum Report

(Refer Para 6.4, 6.8 and 9.17)

[Name of Procuring Entity]

Bid Opening Attendance Sheet cum Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Opening</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Date and Time of Opening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EoI/Technical/Financial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Title of Tender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendance Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bid Opening Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offer No.</th>
<th>Bidder's Name</th>
<th>Bidder's Ref and Date</th>
<th>Submission of Requisite EMD (Y/N)</th>
<th>Submission of other Mandatory Documents (Y/N)</th>
<th>No of Cuttings/Overwritings</th>
<th>Rate Quoted and Taxes/Duties (Financial Bid)</th>
<th>Other Special Features Announced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--/--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--/--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--/--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total no. of regular tenders taken out from the tender box to be opened as mentioned above.......................................................... (In figures and in words)

Signature, Date and Time
Name and Designation of Tender Opening Officer

Received total regular tenders.......................... (In figures/words) as above

Signature, Date and Time
Name and Designation of Procuring Entity Officer

Signature, Date and Time
Name and Designation of Procuring Entity Officer
Annexure 6: CEC Committee Minutes Format for Consultancy Services

(For EoI/Techno-Commercial/Financial Bids)

(Refer Para 5.1, 5.2 and 6.7)

Organisation: _______________________________

Minutes of Tender Committee Meeting

(EoI/Techno-commercial/Financial Bids)

Stage of Evaluation: EoI/Technical/Financial

Section I: Top Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File No:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procuring Entity/Client</td>
<td>Method of Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Contract</td>
<td>LCS/QCBS/ SSS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Assignment</th>
<th>Estimated Cost:-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tender Stage Published In</td>
<td>Date of Publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Validity and Extensions taken</td>
<td>Bid Opening Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Past Precedents/Procurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>service provider</th>
<th>Order Reference &amp; Date</th>
<th>Description of Service</th>
<th>Cost Details</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Members of the Tender Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section II: Background of the Assignment

Include a brief description, context, scope and objectives of the services. Mention technical and financial approvals; estimated cost; budgetary provisions; urgency of requirement; special technical requirements and other connected procurements which are part of same package/project.
**Review special conditions, restriction if any, on participation of bidders; purchase preferences, requirements prescribed in bid documents (EMD, document submission, etc.)**

Describe briefly the selection process that has been completed before this stage: mode of bidding; bidding document contents; bid publication; Pre-proposal Conferences, Amendment/Clarifications sought and given, withdrawals of firms before proposal submissions, level of competition obtained; issues if any noticed during bid-opening (bids not opened due to lack/unsatisfactory EMD, etc.), the establishment of the shortlist, EoI and. Describe major events that may have affected the timing (delays, complaints from consultants, reference of RfP document (attach with the Evaluation Report or make it available for review/approving authority), extension of proposal submission date and so on).

Names/nationality of firms/associations (mark domestic firms and firms that had expressed interest) of Firms who participated and Shortlisted Bidders prior to this stage – EoI/Technical Evaluation:

i) Participated/Expressed Interest:

ii) Shortlisted in EoI/Technical Evaluation prior to this

**Section III: Preliminary Evaluation of Responsiveness** (Refer to Annexure 6A) Review handling of any complaints received

Discuss preliminary evaluation for determining substantially responsive bids and for minor corrections and clarifications

**Section IV: Evaluation of Responsive Bids: Technical Evaluation**

i) Describe briefly the meetings and actions taken by the evaluation committee: formation of a technical evaluation team, outside assistance, evaluation guidelines, justification of sub-criteria and associated weightings as indicated in the standard RfP and compliance of evaluation with RfP.

ii) Summary of Evaluation Criteria and Weights assigned

iii) Grading and Rating Scheme in the Bid documents or decided before the Evaluation

iv) Present results of the technical evaluation: scores and the award recommendation (based on Rating System agreed among evaluators prior to receipt of proposals).

v) Highlight strengths and weaknesses of each proposal (most important part of the report).

(a) **Strengths:** Experience in very similar projects in the country; quality of the methodology, proving a clear understanding of the scope of the assignment; strengths of the local partner; and experience of proposed staff in similar assignments.

(b) **Weaknesses:** Of a particular component of the proposal; of a lack of experience in the country; of a low level of participation by the local partner; of a lack of practical experience (experience in studies rather than in implementation); of staff experience compared to the firm's experience; of a key staffer (e.g., the team leader); of a lack of responsiveness; and of disqualifications (conflict of interest).
vi) Comment on individual evaluators' scores (discrepancies). Items requiring further negotiations.

Technical Evaluation Report should also contain (Formats given in Annexure 6B to 6F)

a) Technical Evaluation Summary (simplified in case of LCS or EoI, otherwise detailed, if so chosen in RfP)

b) Evaluation of Consultancy Firm's Experience (In case of Detailed Technical Evaluation specified)

c) Evaluation of Methodology & Work Schedule (In case of Detailed Technical Evaluation specified)

d) Evaluation of the Key Professionals (In case of Detailed Technical Evaluation specified)

Section V: Evaluation of Technically Successful Bids: Financial Evaluation

i) Start with review of techno-commercial evaluation and shortlisted Firms and approval and directions by CA

ii) Describe briefly the meetings and actions taken by the evaluation committee: formation of a financial evaluation team, outside assistance, evaluation guidelines, justification of associated weightings as indicated in the standard RfP and compliance of evaluation with RfP.

iii) Insert a summary table of evaluated financial scores/combined weighted scores

iv) Deliberations should be in the sequence of financial/combined scores etc. Indicate: any issues faced during the evaluation, such as difficulty in obtaining the exchange rates to convert the prices into the common currency used for evaluation purposes; adjustments made to the prices of the proposal(s) (mainly to ensure consistency with the technical proposal) and determination of the evaluated price (does not apply to Quality-based (Quality-based), Selection-based on Qualifications (Qualifications) and Single-source Selection (Single-Source)); arithmetical correction in case of Time-based Contract, tax-related problems; award recommendation; and any other important information.

v) Attach Minutes of Public Opening of Financial Proposals

Section VI: Summary of Recommendations

Bid-wise recommendation should be recorded

In case of evaluation of financial bids,

i) Give a summary of recommended bids, award value, bid expiry date and special conditions, if any.

ii) Also mention that the rates recommended are considered reasonable (and basis for such determination).

iii) Total value of the recommendations for determining level of acceptance authority.
iv) Mention that none of the TC members have any conflict of interest with the parties recommended for award.

v) Request acceptance of recommendations by competent authority and that it's within his powers of acceptance as per SoPP/DFPR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature Name and Designation of the Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Name &amp; Designation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Name &amp; Designation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks by the Accepting Authority:**

Signature:_________________________ Date:________________________

Name & Designation of Accepting Authority___________________________
## Annexure 6A: Format for Evaluation of Responsiveness

Name of the consultancy firm:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Required response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Has the consultant paid the RfP document fees?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Has the consultant submitted the requisite bid processing fee and bid security?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Have all the pages required to be signed by the authorized representative of the consultant been signed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Has the power of attorney been submitted in the name of authorized representative?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>In the case of JV/consortium, whether the MoU has been submitted?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Has the consultant submitted all the required forms of the technical proposal?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Technical proposal does not contain any financial information?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Is financial proposal submitted separately in a sealed cover?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annexure 6B: Format for Simplified Evaluation of Quality

*(LCS/EoI)*

*(If so specified in Bid Documents)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Required response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Does the consultancy firm have the required experience?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Does the proposed methodology of work fulfil the objectives of the assignment/job till the last detail of the ToR?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Do the methodology, work plan and staffing schedule provide coverage of the entire scope of work as described in ToR?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Does the team leader fulfil the minimum educational qualification and experience criteria?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Has the consultant provided for all the professionals for requisite expertise?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Does the key professional (indicate the position) fulfil the minimum educational qualification and experience criteria? [Evaluate for all the proposed key personnel]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Does the staffing schedule including the key professionals proposed, the responsibility assigned to them and the support staff together is adequate for performing the entire scope of work indicated in the ToR?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: If the answer is yes, in all the cases, the consultancy firm is considered technically qualified for the assignment.*
Annexure 6C: Format for Detailed Technical Evaluation -
Summary Sheet
(If so specified in RfP)
(To be compiled from Annexures 6D; 6E; and 6F)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the consultant</th>
<th>Firm’s Experience Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Methodology &amp; Work schedule Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Qualifications of Key Professionals Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Total Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Ranking of Technical Marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
<td>Max. Marks = 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annexure 6D: Evaluation of Consultancy Firm's Experience
(Averaged from individual worksheets of CEC members)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Consultancy</th>
<th>Firm Number of Projects of similar nature</th>
<th>Marks Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max. Marks =
### Annexure 6E: Evaluation of Methodology & Work Schedule

(Averaged from individual worksheets of CEC members)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of the Consultancy</th>
<th>Firm's Understanding of ToR – Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Work Plan &amp; Methodology – Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Organization and Staffing for the proposed assignment – Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Total – Marks Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
<td>Max. Marks =</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annexure 6F: Evaluation of the Key Professionals

(Averaged from individual worksheets of CEC members)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Key Professionals</th>
<th>Educational Qualification</th>
<th>Marks Awarded</th>
<th>No. of Projects of similar nature</th>
<th>Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Experience of the region (No. of Projects in the region)</th>
<th>Marks Awarded</th>
<th>Total Marks (4+6+8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total for the consultant:

Name of the Consultancy Firm:

|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |

Grand Total for the consultant:

Name of the Consultancy Firm:

|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |
|        |                               |                           |              |                                   |              |                                                       |              |                   |

Grand Total for the consultant:

Name of the Consultancy Firm:
Annexure 7: Activity and Other Schedule for Other (Non-consultancy) Services

(Refer Para 9.9.1)

i) Description of Assignment

ii) Procuring Entity\textsuperscript{*}s Organisation and Assignment Background

iii) Statement of Purpose/Objectives

iv) Statement of Assignments Outcomes

v) Itemized Activity Schedule and Time-lines
   a. Tasks, Activities, dependencies, categorised into classes, location and features affecting prices.
   b. Frequency of Activities, Quantum, Length and Duration of Activities
   c. Performance standards for such activities

vi) Labour/Personnel Deployment Schedule:
   a. Type of Personnel, Number of each type, Place, Shifts, Frequency of deployment
   b. Project Managers, Supervisors, Their qualifications/experience, numbers
   c. Leave reserve and reliving staff needed are not included in the numbers of personnel, these must be included in the rate of each personnel

vii) Material Schedule, if any: Materials, Consumables, Tools of Trade, to be consumed/deployed, tabulate, quantum, specifications, per unit of activity/Manpower/day/ location etc

viii) Essential Equipment Schedule: Deployment of essential machinery (equipment, Trucks, Cranes, Washing Machines, vessels/crafts, plant & machinery) – mention quantity/activity, specifications, capacity, age. Possession/access to such machinery may also be included in the qualification requirements.

ix) Outcomes, deliverables, reports and Time Schedule for Deliverables

x) Statutory and contractual obligations to be complied with by the contractor: Various statutory provisions relating to labour, taxation, Workmen Safety, Child and Women Labour, Private Security Agencies, Environmental Protection, Mining, Forest clearance, Employment reservations and Procuring Entity\textsuperscript{*}s own regulation about safety, security, confidentiality etc. must be listed, so that price implications and compliance is taken care of by the bidder.

xi) Facilities and Utilities to be provided by the Procuring Entity to service provider at Site: It should be mentioned, if any facility/utility (Operation Manuals, Emergency Medical, Room, Furniture, Electricity connection, Water connection) etc would be made available
to the successful bidder to carry out the service. In case it is proposed to charge the Electricity/Water supplied to the service provider, the same may be mentioned, including the rate of charges. Specially mention facilities and utilities which will not be provided, or the facilities which would be provided on chargeable basis.

xii) Institutional and organisational arrangement
   a. Counterpart Project Manager and Team
   b. Chain of Command for reporting

xiii) Procedure for review of the work of consultant after award of contract
Annexure 8: Certificate for Procurement of other services without Quotation
(Refer Para 9.11)

Ref No: 

Place: 
Date: 

“I, , am personally satisfied that the other services executed as described below are of the requisite scope and performance standards and have been got executed from a reliable service provider at a reasonable price.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Service:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justification:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place and Nodal Officer for availing the Services</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Basis</th>
<th>Lump-sum/Unit (Item) Rate/Time-based</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope/Quantum/Performance Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes/Duties:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TotalContractPrice:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>service provider</th>
<th>M/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vide Bill No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheque may be drawn in favour of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Procuring Officer:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annexure 9: Purchase Committee Certificate for Procurement of other services
(Refer Para9.11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref No:</th>
<th>Place:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Description of Service: | |
| Justification: | |
| Place and Nodal Officer for availing the Services | |
| Contract Basis | Lump-sum/Unit (Item) Rate/Time-based |
| Scope/Quantum/Performance Standards | |

Details of Prices Ascertained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service provider</th>
<th>Rate:</th>
<th>Taxes/Duties:</th>
<th>Other Charges:</th>
<th>Total Unit Price:</th>
<th>Total Price:</th>
<th>Recommendations &amp; Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selected service provider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Rate, Taxes/Duties/Other Charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Unit Rate</th>
<th>Total Value of Purchase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Cheque may be drawn in favour of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature:</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name 1:</th>
<th>Name 2:</th>
<th>Name 3:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation:</th>
<th>Designation:</th>
<th>Designation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

“Certified that we the undersigned, members of the purchase committee are jointly and individually satisfied that the above described Services are executed at a reasonable price and are of the requisite scope and performance standards and have been got executed from a reliable service provider and it is not debarred by Department of Commerce or Ministry/Department concerned.” The details of recommended purchase are:
Annexure 10: Tender Committee Minutes Format for Other (Non-consultancy) Services

(For Techno-Commercial/Financial Bids)

(Refer Para 9.17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Minutes of Tender Committee Meeting
(Techno-commercial/Financial Bids)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procuring Entity/Client:</th>
<th>Stage of Evaluation: Technical/Financial Bids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Section I: Top Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File No:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Contract</th>
<th>Estimated Cost:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tender Published In</th>
<th>Date of Publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid Validity and extensions taken</th>
<th>Bid Opening Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Past Precedents/Procurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Order Reference &amp; Date</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Basic Rate (Rs.)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Members of the Tender Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section II: Background of the Assignment

Include a brief description, context, scope and objectives of the services. Mention technical and financial approvals; estimated cost; budgetary provisions; urgency of requirement; special technical requirements and other connected procurements which are part of same package/ project.

Review special conditions, restriction if any, on participation of bidders; purchase preferences, requirements prescribed in bid documents (EMD, document submission, etc.)

Describe briefly the selection process that has been completed before this stage: mode of bidding; bidding document contents; bid publication; Pre-proposal Conferences, Amendment/ Clarifications sought and given, withdrawals of firms before proposal submissions, level of competition obtained; issues if any noticed during bid-opening (bids not opened due to lack/ unsatisfactory EMD, etc.), the establishment of the shortlist. Describe major events that may have affected the timing (delays, complaints from consultants, reference of RfP document (attach with the Evaluation Report or make it available for review/approving authority), extension of proposal submission date and so on).

Names/nationality of firms/associations (mark domestic firms and firms that had expressed interest) of Firms who participated and Shortlisted Bidders prior to this stage:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i)</td>
<td>Participated:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii)</td>
<td>Shortlisted in Technical Evaluation prior to this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section III: Preliminary Evaluation of Responsiveness

Review handling of any complaints received

Discuss preliminary evaluation for determining substantially responsive bids and for minor corrections and clarifications

Section IV: Evaluation of Responsive Bids – Technical/Quality Evaluation

i) Describe briefly the meetings and actions taken by the evaluation committee: formation of a technical evaluation team, outside assistance, evaluation guidelines, justification of evaluation criteria in the standard RfP and compliance of evaluation with RfP.

ii) Present results of the technical evaluation

iii) Highlight strengths and weaknesses of each proposal:

   a) **Strengths**: Experience in very similar projects in the country; proving a clear understanding of the scope of the assignment;

   b) **Weaknesses**: Of a particular component of the proposal; of a lack of experience in the region or type of service; of a lack of responsiveness;
Section V: Evaluation of Technically Successful Bids: Financial Evaluation

i) Start with review of techno-commercial evaluation and shortlisted Firms and approval and directions by CA

ii) Describe briefly the meetings and actions taken by the evaluation committee: formation of a financial evaluation team, outside assistance, evaluation guidelines and compliance of evaluation with RfP.

iii) Insert a summary table of evaluated bid prices from L-1 to highest

iv) Attach Minutes of Public Opening of Financial Proposals

Section VI: Summary of Recommendations

Bid-wise recommendation should be recorded

In case of evaluation of financial bids,

i) Give a summary of recommended bids, award value, bid expiry date and special conditions, if any.

ii) Also mention that the rates recommended are considered reasonable (and basis for such determination).

iii) Total value of the recommendations for determining level of acceptance authority.

iv) Mention that none of the TC members have any conflict of interest with the parties recommended for award.

v) Request acceptance of recommendations by competent authority and that it’s within his powers of acceptance as per SoPP/DFPR.

Signature Name and Designation of the Members

1 2

Date: Date:

(Name & Designation) (Name & Designation)

3 4

Date: Date:

(Name & Designation) (Name & Designation)

Remarks by the Accepting Authority: _________________________________

Signature: ______________________ Date: ______________________

Name & Designation of Accepting Authority _______________________________